(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber X
- 3 Situation: Republic of Mali
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Al Hassan Ag Abdoul Aziz Ag Mohamed Ag
- 5 Mahmoud ICC-01/12-01/18
- 6 Presiding Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua, Judge Tomoko Akane and Judge
- 7 Kimberly Prost
- 8 Trial Hearing Courtroom 3
- 9 Monday, 19 April 2021
- 10 (The hearing starts in open session at 1.31 p.m.)
- 11 THE COURT USHER: [13:31:28] All rise.
- 12 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 13 Please be seated.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:31:39](Interpretation) The hearing shall
- 15 now begin. Good afternoon, everyone.
- 16 Madam courtroom officer, if you could please call the case.
- 17 THE COURT OFFICER: [13:31:56] Good afternoon, Mr President,
- 18 your Honours.
- 19 Situation in the Republic of Mali, in the case of The Prosecutor versus Al
- 20 Hassan Ag Abdoul Aziz Ag Mohamed Ag Mahmoud, case reference
- 21 ICC-01/12-01/18.
- 22 And for the record, we are in open session.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:32:11](Interpretation) Thank you, Madam
- 24 courtroom officer.
- Now, for the record, we will now proceed to introductions, beginning with the

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 OTP.
- 2 Madam Prosecutor.
- 3 MS CORBIN: [13:32:27](Interpretation) Good afternoon, your Honours. The
- 4 OTP is represented this afternoon by Dianne Luping, Mr Garcia, and myself,
- 5 Nelly Corbin.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:32:37](Interpretation) Thank you very
- 7 much, Madam Prosecutor.
- 8 And now the Defence counsel.
- 9 MS TAYLOR: [13:32:43] Good afternoon, Mr President, good afternoon,
- 10 your Honours, good afternoon to everyone inside and outside the courtroom.
- 11 The Defence for Mr Al Hassan is represented today by Maître Antoine Vey, by
- 12 Maître Damia Taharraoui, by Maître Marion Lafouge, by Maître Sarah
- 13 Marinier-Doucet and myself, Melinda Taylor. Thank you very much.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:33:17](Interpretation) Thank you very
- 15 much, Ms Taylor.
- 16 And now the Legal Representatives of Victims.
- 17 Counsel.
- 18 MR KASSONGO: [13:33:25](Interpretation) Good afternoon, everyone, good
- 19 afternoon, your Honours. The team of Legal Representatives of Victims is
- 20 represented by Biyéké Dipanga, who is assisting me; Tixier-Dunet Ludivine,
- 21 who is with us; and myself, Mr Kassongo. Thank you very much.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:33:51](Interpretation) Thank you very
- 23 much, Mr Kassongo.
- 24 This afternoon we will continue with the testimony of the 29th Prosecution
- 25 witness; namely, witness P-646.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 And now I turn to the witness.
- 2 Good afternoon, Mr Witness, can you hear me?
- 3 WITNESS: MLI-OTP-P-0646 (On former oath)
- 4 (The witness speaks French)
- 5 (The witness gives evidence via video link)
- 6 THE WITNESS: [13:34:14](Interpretation) Yes, very well. Good afternoon,
- 7 your Honour.
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [13:34:19](Interpretation) Thank you very
- 9 much, Mr Witness.
- 10 Once again, welcome. And I would like to remind you that you are still under
- oath. Thus you must tell the truth, the whole truth and nothing but the truth.
- 12 I would also like to remind you that there are a number of practical
- 13 considerations when you speak. You have done very well so far, and I expect
- 14 you will continue to do so, by allowing pauses after each remark you make.
- 15 I will now ask the Prosecution to proceed.
- 16 Madam Prosecutor.
- 17 MS CORBIN: [13:35:14](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 18 QUESTIONED BY MS CORBIN: (Interpretation)(Continuing)
- 19 Q. [13:35:19] Good afternoon, Mr Witness.
- 20 A. [13:35:21] Good afternoon.
- 21 Q. [13:35:21] On Friday afternoon you were telling us about the daily
- briefings at the DSM, and, you mentioned, among other things, the various
- 23 intelligence summaries that were made as part of the briefing, and that is from
- 24 the transcript of that day. What was the format of the summary? What sort
- of document was this? Page 17, transcript 75.

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 A. [13:35:58] Thank you very much. After each daily briefing, we would
- 2 drop a summary of the information received from our sources throughout the
- 3 country and we would select the proper information, as I mentioned last time.
- 4 The information that represented true threats that needed to be sent to the
- 5 minister were selected and then -- and then sent on. That took the form of an
- 6 intelligence bulletin or, in some cases, we sent dispatches messages portés, in
- 7 French or merely a memorandum. In actual fact, it would depend
- 8 particularly on the value of the intelligence we had and, thus, in some cases, we
- 9 had to forward the information very quickly, in other cases, no. So it would
- 10 depend on all these factors.
- 11 That is how the information was sent on to the minister, either by way of
- 12 a dispatch, a *message porté*, or by way of an intelligence bulletin or sometimes
- 13 even summaries.
- 14 That is my answer to your question, counsel.
- 15 Q. [13:38:06] Thank you. Within the DSM, who would draft these
- 16 documents that you have just told us about?
- 17 A. [13:38:17] The first draft would be done by the operations division and
- 18 they were responsible for all the sources -- the *capteurs*, in French. If a draft
- 19 was sent to the assistant director, the almost final copy would be sent on to the
- 20 director. At each level of the hierarchy, the person as the head of the unit
- 21 would make a judgment, an assessment of the need. In some cases, there
- 22 would have to be some adjustments made to formatting and so on and so forth.
- 23 Q. [13:39:39] Very well, thank you very much. Now, at the national level,
- 24 were there other units that also sought out intelligence other than the DSM?
- 25 A. [13:39:53] The DSM was an intelligence service that focused on

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- specifically military intelligence, but along with that we did general
- 2 intelligence work, and so within the department, we had various units that
- 3 handled intelligence. For example, the gendarmerie, the police, the state
- 4 security directorate, the DSM. These various units would provide
- 5 intelligence.
- 6 As part of the updating of all these various units, to better delineate the
- 7 intelligence and the strategy, the various leaders of these intelligence units
- 8 would frequently meet to discuss matters, and, that way, we could obtain
- 9 strategic intelligence that was provided to the minister and even to the
- 10 presidency on that occasion.
- 11 Q. [13:42:09] I'd now like to ask you some questions about the way in which
- intelligence was collected and used in 2012 and 2013, and, to begin, I'd like to
- ask you, what was the security situation in Mali in 2012 and 2013?
- 14 A. [13:42:41] In 2012-2013, the Malian army for which I was providing
- 15 intelligence was having many, many difficulties. Many difficulties. We were
- dealing with a rebellion that had quickly turned into terrorism, particularly in
- 17 the north of the country.
- 18 So after the events in Libya, we saw that many former combatants were coming
- 19 back, former combatants from the Libyan army. These former combatants
- 20 were well equipped, well trained and, for the most part -- well, in most
- cases 90 per cent, I would say they were people originally from the north.
- 22 And that's a very important point because they had excellent knowledge of the
- 23 terrain. They were extremely familiar with it.
- 24 So the state was facing the massive arrival of these people, and the state of Mali
- 25 had difficulty managing them and returning them to society, providing a sort

ICC-01/12-01/18

- of a framework for them because, obviously, in our view, these former fighters
- 2 did not want to return and take their place within Malian society. So
- 3 this -- the political attempt failed and there were clashes with the army.
- 4 As I was saying earlier, with all the advantages that they had, they were well
- 5 equipped by the Libyan army, well trained, they were very familiar with the
- 6 terrain and so the army suffered setbacks, considerable setbacks. This led us
- 7 to abandoning the area. We went down -- when I say "we", I mean the Malian
- 8 army, we withdrew to locations much further to the south, to a place called
- 9 Mopti in the Sevare garrison.
- 10 So, in short, that was the security situation that I described to you in 2012 and
- 11 2013.
- 12 Q. [13:47:24] Did this context affect the way in which the DSM was
- 13 organised?
- 14 A. [13:47:31] Yes. It completely disorganised the DSM, insofar as the DSM
- 15 has been -- had been working with its sources within a military framework, but
- when the military framework abandoned the territory, all the DSM members
- 17 also withdrew. So the army was very disorganized, and, all of a sudden, all
- the army units found themselves disorganised in a difficult situation, in an
- 19 uncomfortable situation.
- 20 So, in short, this certainly had an impact on the classic standard organisation of
- 21 the DSM and its structure and services.
- 22 Q. [13:49:31] And what about the *capteurs*, the sources, during this period of
- 23 time?
- 24 A. [13:49:40] As I said earlier, the sources abandoned the field at that time
- 25 along with the units. Since the new line at the front was in the Sevare area

ICC-01/12-01/18

- and Mopti. This meant all our sources found themselves naturally within
- 2 their units but at the front line, so they, along with the units, went back to
- 3 Sevare.
- 4 Q. [13:50:41] Now with the sources in the field withdrawing, how did the
- 5 DSM adjust when it came -- or adapt, rather, when it came to the collection of
- 6 intelligence?
- 7 A. [13:51:00] During that period, despite the difficulties for the entire army,
- 8 the DSM had to -- like all parts of the army, had to reorganise internally,
- 9 innovate, and so this was done so that the mission could continue.
- 10 For the army, this was a strategic withdrawal. It wasn't the end of the fight.
- And so we needed ideas, we need initiatives, so as to continue the mission
- even though we weren't actually in the field. We held many meetings within
- 13 the DSM at that time under my command to try to determine how we could
- 14 reorganise the DSM --
- 15 THE INTERPRETER: Correction: How we could reorganise intelligence.
- 16 THE WITNESS: [13:52:40](Interpretation) Now, I was saying that one of our
- 17 sources of intelligence was the civilian population. The army along with the
- members of the DSM, the sources, the *capteurs* went back down to the south,
- 19 but the civilian population was still at the same locations under the yoke of the
- 20 attackers.
- 21 In this statement I said that our strategy of dispatching our sources -- we were
- 22 always very careful to send them to the places where they had originally come
- 23 from; that way they could have as much contact as possible, useful contact, so
- 24 as to gather intelligence for the DSM.
- 25 So we reorganised ourselves during that period so that intelligent (sic)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- activities could continue with the civilian population who were still in the field.
- 2 Often, we had people who had formerly -- we had worked with people who
- 3 were formerly part of the security apparatus in those areas who could -- could
- 4 be aware of what was going on in the field in one way or another.
- 5 And since, to some extent, this occupation was not to the liking of the civilian
- 6 population, we sensed that their commitment to providing us with intelligence
- 7 was even firmer.
- 8 So those were the various -- well, basically that was the approach that we took
- 9 to reorganise the DSM during that extremely difficult period of time for the
- 10 army -- the Malian armed forces. So there you have it.
- 11 Q. [13:55:42] So you've just said, on the subject of the civil -- civilian
- 12 population, we felt that their commitment was still a lot more firm.
- 13 Could you explain what you mean by that?
- 14 A. [13:56:01] Indeed, what we saw during this period when we were getting
- a lot of information, we got a lot more information about the nature of the
- attackers, their numbers, their activities through and via civil society, the civil
- 17 population -- civilian population. And we thought that due to their refusal to
- accept the situation, that they necessarily and voluntarily were committed
- 19 when it came to providing information and intelligence, and, as such, the
- 20 amount and -- the amount and the number of intelligence that we received at
- 21 the time was considerable. That's it.
- 22 Q. [13:57:39] You were speaking about a considerable amount of intelligence.
- 23 How did you manage to divide up the information that came to you?
- 24 How did you sort it?
- 25 A. [13:58:13] Intelligence is not an exact science. All the information that

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 we received during this period, we tried to see what the source of the
- 2 information was, first of all, the credibility of the information, the credibility of
- 3 the source. And with regards to this information that we were receiving, in
- 4 accordance with our experience, that could lead to us having certain
- 5 reservations in that regard.
- 6 Also, depending on the way in which we were working, that would make it
- 7 possible for us to confirm whether the intelligence that we had available to us
- 8 was credible or not. I think I can take a concrete example that the same
- 9 intelligence that we received from our intelligence source X, if it's the same
- intelligence that we get from another intelligence source Y, they're not
- in -- who is not in the same zone or area, and, who, in most cases, do not know
- each other, then we can say in this case that it is indeed credible. That's it.
- 13 Q. [14:00:45] So just to be clear, how did the population communicate this
- information to the DSM?
- 15 A. [14:01:08] The population that remained in the field was already in
- 16 contact with the intelligence sources. If you take a particular location, for
- 17 example, within the unit that was located there, you would have our
- intelligence services within -- our intelligence sources within the unit.
- 19 Now each of these sources, through the mission that they had, they would
- 20 create a network around themselves. So already before the army's
- 21 withdrawal, there was contact which -- contact which had already been
- 22 established. As such, with the army's withdrawal, the contact that was
- 23 established remained, but the distance increased because the sources were still
- 24 with us on the front line. But for the most part, the population
- 25 stayed -- remained after us in the field and they kept contact with their sources

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 always by telephone.
- 2 Q. [14:03:06] Did the daily briefings continue in 2012 and 2013?
- 3 A. [14:03:19] Indeed, they did. They always continued.
- 4 Q. [14:03:31] Apart from this human intelligence, did you also get
- 5 intelligence from other sources or on other media?
- 6 A. [14:03:52] Yes. We got information via other sources or media. What I
- said earlier, firstly, with regard to the heads of intelligence, the intelligence
- 8 chiefs, they would come together. That was a source of intelligence for the
- 9 DSM. We would have technical intelligence and we would also have open
- 10 intelligence. We had an audio room, which was fully equipped for the DSM
- officials, and, from that audio-equipped room, they were able to carry out open
- 12 intelligence.
- 13 That's just a few examples with regards to the different means we had of
- improving our capacity to gain intelligence.
- 15 Q. [14:05:32] What do you mean by "technical intelligence"?
- 16 A. [14:05:39] Technical intelligence, this is the intelligence that you get via
- 17 electronic means. It's also intelligence that you get within the framework of
- military cooperation with other units.
- 19 Q. [14:06:32] In this context, in this particular context, 2012-2013, are we
- 20 speaking about the motivation of the agents at the time? Can you speak to us
- 21 about the particular motivation that the officers of the DSM had at this time?
- 22 A. [14:07:10] It was a difficult time for the DSM as it was for all the armed
- 23 forces in Mali. In the DSM, we thought that the life of the units for the most
- 24 part depended on the quality of the intelligence that was provided. In this
- 25 case, within the framework of retaking over, the DSM had to play a role,

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- a primary role in doing so and we made great efforts to do so. We wanted
- 2 people to really take on that -- that the success of the mission in being able to
- 3 retake back the area depended on the quality of the intelligence that we were
- 4 getting from our units. That every -- every agent, that every intelligence
- 5 source, they had to know that they were an important link in the chain, in the
- 6 intelligence chain, and a weak link in that chain could jeopardise the entire
- 7 strategy to recapture the territory. We had to find the right words to
- 8 galvanise them.
- 9 I had the honour of having some meetings with the directorate to say that the
- Malian nation was looking to us, and that the Malian nation is counting on us,
- and we must not disappoint them.
- 12 I think this was a message that got through, and my men went back to work.
- 13 As a director, I was proud of what they did for their army. That's it.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:11:03](Interpretation) Madam Prosecutor,
- we're going to stop there. As you know, we have to have a break after 40
- 16 minutes. We've just finished 40 minutes. We shall therefore break.
- But at the request of the Defence, the break will no longer be 10 minutes, but 15
- 18 minutes. We shall therefore come back at 2.25.
- 19 The hearing is now suspended.
- 20 THE COURT USHER: [14:11:39] All rise.
- 21 (Recess taken at 2.11 p.m.)
- 22 (Upon resuming in open session at 2.29 p.m.)
- 23 THE COURT USHER: [14:29:27] All rise.
- 24 Please be seated.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:29:42](Interpretation) The hearing shall

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 now resume. And the Prosecution may address the Court.
- 2 Madam Prosecutor, how much time do you need? Could you do your
- 3 calculations and let me know when, but before the end of the day, just so that
- 4 we know and so that we can tell the Defence how much time you've used.
- 5 Thank you.
- 6 MS CORBIN: [14:30:16](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:30:17](Interpretation) Please proceed.
- 8 MS CORBIN: [14:30:19](Interpretation) Thank you. I think by the end of the
- 9 session it will be easier to give an estimate.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:30:24](Interpretation) Thank you.
- 11 MS CORBIN: [14:30:30](Interpretation)
- 12 Q. [14:30:31] I'd now like to ask you some more specific questions about the
- way in which military intelligence was recorded in writing and preserved and,
- to do that, in a few moments, we'll be having a look at some actual examples
- with documents that are to be found in the binder that you were provided
- with.
- 17 Before we do that, a few moments ago you mentioned three kinds of
- documents: The *messages portés* or the sort of dispatch, the intelligence
- 19 bulletins or reports, and the memorandums.
- 20 Now briefly, we will be looking at the various kinds of documents in greater
- 21 detail, but what are the main characteristics of these documents and what are
- 22 the main differences amongst them.
- 23 A. [14:31:34] What you must realise is that, taken together, all these
- 24 documents are intended to forward information, forward intelligence to
- 25 authorities within the ministry of defence and, in particular, the minister of

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 defence.
- 2 Let us take the example of a *message porté*, a dispatch. The main characteristic
- 3 of such a document is that it is forwarded very quickly, it's sent off very
- 4 quickly. We receive information immediately, which has to be processed
- 5 immediately, so we quickly draw up a dispatch, a *message porté*, which we
- 6 forward nearly immediately to the minister of defence. These are usually
- 7 rather short documents and they are military in style.
- 8 Intelligence reports, in contrast, are more elaborate documents. They may
- 9 contain a great deal of intelligence, different kinds of intelligence, and the need
- to send off such a document is not systematically recommended.
- 11 The memoranda or information notes are, yet again, a different kind of
- 12 document. They contain analyses of the situation. Often in relation to the
- intelligence, they provide different viewpoints and they are much broader in
- scope than the two other documents that I've already mentioned.
- 15 I was saying that we also had weekly summaries that we would prepare. All
- the intelligence that we would see on a daily basis on these -- in these various
- 17 forms, dispatches, memorandum, BRQ -- "BRQ" stands for information bulletin,
- in any event, we would gather all this information from these various sources
- 19 and consolidate it into a single document, which we would send off to another
- 20 authority.
- 21 So that is what I meant when I was talking about the various kinds of
- 22 documents that allowed us to forward intelligence to the minister of defence.
- 23 Q. [14:36:04] If you could now look at the binder which has 246 different
- 24 items within it.
- 25 We will set aside the first three documents, which have to do with your

ICC-01/12-01/18

- statement, and I'd like to ask you some questions about the documents
- 2 between tabs 4 and 246.
- 3 Now, during the preparation session, we saw these same documents, they
- 4 were shown to you and they were organised in the same way, by family, so to
- 5 speak, and then, within each family of document, in chronological order.
- 6 Before I put any questions to you about these documents, I'd like to ask you to
- 7 leaf through the binder quickly just so that you can familiarise yourself.
- 8 A. [14:37:28] I think it's fine. I'm ready. We can continue.
- 9 Q. [14:37:33] Very well. Do you remember having seen or reading these
- 10 243 documents during the preparation session -- 243 documents?
- 11 A. [14:37:54] Yes. We reviewed all these documents, I can confirm that.
- 12 We looked at them one by one during our long preparation sessions.
- 13 Q. [14:38:15] If you could look at the list at the beginning of the binder.
- 14 A. [14:38:55] Yes, I have it here. Sorry about that.
- 15 Q. [14:39:05] Very well. Now, at the left, on the left-hand side you see the
- 16 numbers of the various tabs within the binder. Other than the first three
- documents and other than the documents -- and other than the documents
- number 239 to 242, OTP-0012-0 ... (no interpretation) ... other than these
- 19 various documents, are the other documents all from the DSM?
- 20 A. [14:39:55] Yes, I can confirm that. All these documents have been signed
- 21 -- signed "DSM".
- 22 Q. [14:40:16] These are copies, scanned images. In your opinion, are these
- 23 carbon copies identical to the originals?
- 24 A. [14:40:29] Yes. These are copies of documents that are in keeping with
- 25 the documents from the DSM.

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Q. [14:40:47] We will go into greater detail in a few moments, but in general
- 2 terms, why do you say that these documents are accurate copies of the
- 3 originals? Carbon copies?
- 4 A. [14:41:04] Well, in these documents, I recognise the stamp of the unit, the
- 5 signature of the director, and often I even recognise the font of the machine. I
- 6 think I can say that the content is familiar. The documents do bring back
- 7 some memories of my time at the DSM.
- 8 Q. [14:42:15] I see that you have one particular document right with you,
- 9 could you tell me which document you are looking at?
- 10 A. [14:42:28] It's one of the dispatches, one of the *messages portés*.
- 11 Q. [14:42:35] There is a registration number MLI-OTP at the bottom of the
- 12 page. Can you read out that reference at the bottom of the page to us.
- 13 A. [14:42:49] MLI-OTP-0012-0888 -- 98. And that is the same document as
- 14 tab 4.
- 15 Q. [14:43:08] Thank you. Could you tell us where the originals of these
- 16 documents are kept?
- 17 A. [14:43:18] The first copy of the original is sent to the recipient directly, to
- 18 the minister of defence. Then you need a copy for the databases, so one copy
- is kept within the DSM, and that copy is often a double. The second copy at
- 20 the end of the year is sent to the archives, the DSM archives.
- 21 Q. [14:44:56] Could you briefly explain the archiving process to us?
- 22 A. [14:45:02] We did have an archives office and that was part of the division,
- 23 the administration and finance division of the DSM. And so at the end of each
- 24 year, the secretariat would file all the various documents, the dispatches, all the
- 25 BRQs, the intelligence bulletins, and the memorandums and the summaries,

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- they would be filed chronologically within the secretariat of the director. And
- 2 they would be filed in the archives office with a signature.
- 3 So you see, all the documents that we would provide to the minister, for
- 4 information purposes, at the end of the year, would be filed by date and
- 5 number within the archives office.
- 6 Q. [14:47:03] Who would have access to the archives?
- 7 A. [14:47:12] Well, in actual fact, the directors would have -- all had access to
- 8 the archives, and that would be with the permission of the director or the
- 9 deputy director. The management and the various subdirectors would all
- 10 have access. And it would depend on the quality of the request -- or the
- 11 nature, rather, of the request. If the various directors had agree -- had the
- 12 agreement from the deputy director to plunge into the archives, there was
- a registry with the archivist and there would be a record with the name of the
- officer who was given access to the document, the day that he was given access,
- and whether or not that person needed the document outside of the actual
- premises -- outside the actual archives of the office. Then, after that, the date
- on which the document was returned.
- All that information was recorded in this registry and some of the
- 19 higher-ranked noncommissioned officers would be doing this. Usually, it was
- 20 a higher-ranked noncommissioned officer who served as head of the archives
- 21 office.
- 22 Q. [14:49:34] Do you remember giving permission to give documents from
- 23 the DSM archives to members of the OTP?
- 24 A. [14:49:47] I believe that when we received staff from the OTP, when I
- 25 received them, given the extent of the mission and what was needed to ensure

ICC-01/12-01/18

- the success of the mission, we did not object to that request.
- 2 Q. [14:50:48] Regarding the content of the documents, which you had
- 3 a chance to review during the preparation session, in general terms, do you
- 4 remember what period of time was at hand? What period of time did these
- 5 documents cover?
- 6 A. [14:51:17] The document deals with a period 2011, 2012, 2013, generally.
- 7 The end of 2011, 2012, 2013.
- 8 Q. [14:51:42] And what does it deal with more generally?
- 9 A. [14:51:47] Intelligence on the security situation and the different threats
- against the security armed forces -- against the security and the armed forces.
- 11 Q. [14:52:17] Now, we are going to go over this document together in order
- to understand what is meant by these events which happened at the time and
- 13 which were reported in a contemporaneous way, as was said on Friday. And
- 14 I'm not going to ask questions on each document individually. What I
- suggest I do to save time is go by groups of questions and to stop on certain
- 16 documents time by time in particular.
- 17 MS CORBIN: [14:53:03](Interpretation) Your Honour, your Honours, to save
- time with the groups of documents, I would propose not to read every number,
- 19 every MLI-OTP number, but just to read the tabs that they refer to, and,
- 20 afterwards, to ask that they be submitted into the dossier so that they can be
- 21 associated with the numbers in the tab. But I do propose, however, to read
- 22 the registration number of the first and last documents to ensure that they are
- 23 in the right order.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:53:46](Interpretation) That's perfect. Do
- 25 we have the list of documents in the binder? If so, please proceed as intended

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 and we will save time in that way.
- 2 I can see Ms Taylor.
- 3 MS TAYLOR: [14:54:01] Thank you very much, Mr President. I would just
- 4 like to ask a point of clarification, given that this proposal was not discussed or
- 5 raised with the Defence before today's hearing, and, that is, specifically, we
- 6 have no objection per se to the Prosecution relying upon tab numbers rather
- 7 than MLI numbers, but the Defence does insist that documents cannot be
- 8 submitted or formally entered into the record unless they have been discussed
- 9 and put to the witness in accordance with the decision on the conduct of
- 10 proceedings. And that is consistent with the position that's been adopted
- with other witnesses, particularly because the Prosecution did not request or
- has not been granted the right to submit this evidence through Rule 68.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:55:09](Interpretation) That's true. We're
- 14 not in the framework of Rule 68. This is a viva voce witness. We have 246
- documents, and, a moment ago, I asked the Prosecutor how much time the
- 16 Office of the Prosecutor needed.
- 17 Now, the method that the Prosecutor proposes is very reasonable. We have
- a list of documents and, each time that she's going to mention a group of
- 19 documents, she's going to mention the first document with its MLI number and
- 20 the last document; so we can all follow that. It's not today that she's going to
- 21 tender the documents into evidence, surely.
- 22 MS TAYLOR: [14:55:56] Thank you, Mr President. Yes, we understand that
- 23 this is the system just for references and not a system for admitting documents
- by group, as that would occasion prejudice for cross-examination.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:56:27](Interpretation) Counsel, as you are

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 raising the issue of the cross-examination, I'm going to discuss this with my
- 2 colleagues for the follow-up, hereafter.
- 3 (The Trial Chamber confers)
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [14:59:33](Interpretation) Yes, Ms Taylor.
- 5 The problem that we have is saving time. So we've got 246 items of evidence.
- 6 The Prosecutor proposes discussing them by group, five, 10, or whatever, but
- 7 when she's going to submit the group, we will discuss the documents in
- 8 general, I imagine, Prosecutor, not the particular content of each evidentiary
- 9 item. Please, could you tell me.
- 10 MS CORBIN: [15:00:19](Interpretation) No, that's exactly right. The aim is to
- ask general questions by group with regards to the formalities within the
- document, and there will be general questions with regards to the content.
- 13 They're organised in a chronological way, so we'll try to organise them by
- 14 theme when we carry out this division as well for these documents, which are
- 15 the most relevant in our opinion, then we will ask questions about these
- documents specifically. Furthermore, the Defence will have the opportunity
- 17 to cross-examine on any document they wish to do.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:00:54](Interpretation) So the method's
- 19 clear. It's by group, by theme, and the Prosecutor will ask questions to the
- 20 witness about the way in which the items were made by group and in general,
- 21 and, if necessary, on a particular item.
- Now, we aren't forgetting you, Ms Taylor and the Defence, because you will
- 23 have the opportunity to cross-examine, and if you want to go to a particular
- 24 document, you can do so. If not, the method, as proposed, it satisfies the
- 25 Chamber in order to save time.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 MS TAYLOR: [15:01:40] Thank you -- thank you very much, Mr President. If
- 2 I may respectfully ask for clarification as to the status of this ruling in light of
- 3 the Chamber's prior ruling in the sense that before the commencement of this
- 4 witness's testimony, the Defence submitted objections to the use of specific
- 5 items of evidence on the grounds that particular documents had not been
- 6 signed. The response of the Chamber to that request was to reject it, subject to
- 7 the obligation of the Prosecution to lay a foundation as concerns each item that
- 8 we contested before it could indeed be submitted into evidence. That decision
- 9 implied that the Prosecution, if they wished to rely upon those items of
- 10 evidence, would be required to put them to the witness so that a sufficient
- 11 foundation could be established.
- 12 My concern is that with a group approach to documents, that requirement
- would therefore be vitiated and the Defence would not be on notice as to
- 14 whether those documents could or could not be admitted into evidence. This
- ambiguity would impact upon the cross-examination in the sense that prior to
- 16 cross-examination, the Defence would not actually know if the Prosecution still
- intends to submit those documents into evidence if they do not during
- 18 examination put them to the witness.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:03:16](Interpretation) Madam Prosecutor,
- 20 how do you intend to react to that objection?
- 21 MS CORBIN: [15:03:24](Interpretation) Quite simply to say that we're not
- 22 going to show the documents which are not assigned to the -- to the witness
- 23 given the answers given during the preparatory session.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:03:33](Interpretation) Very well. The
- 25 Chamber is satisfied.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 Madam Prosecutor, please continue.
- 2 MS CORBIN: [15:03:40](Interpretation)
- 3 Q. [15:03:42] Before starting, I'd just like to say that all the documents are
- 4 confidential.
- 5 Witness, we already spoke about this in the preparatory session. The
- 6 documents will not be visible by the public, but obviously your answers will be
- 7 public.
- 8 MS CORBIN: [15:04:06](Interpretation) I now turn towards the Court Officer.
- 9 The Prosecution would propose to show the documents; so for the group of
- documents -- we can't show all the documents at the same time, we will refer to
- 11 the binder. But for the documents which are shown individually, with your
- agreement, we will show them directly from our computer, if that is possible.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:04:36](Interpretation) Please go ahead,
- 14 Madam Prosecutor.
- 15 MS CORBIN: [15:04:41](Interpretation)
- 16 Q. [15:04:41] Witness, the first group of documents that I'm going to show
- 17 you are the *messages portés*, the dispatches. These are the first documents in
- 18 the binder. Is it correct that all of these dispatches from September 2011, that
- they cover the period going from 30 September 2011 to 2 April 2013?
- 20 A. [15:05:28] Yes. I confirm that the *messages portés*, the dispatches, go from
- 21 30 September 2011 to 2 April 2013.
- 22 Q. [15:06:07] And before starting with the groups of documents, I propose
- 23 that we look together at two dispatches to be able to understand the format of
- 24 this type of document.
- Now, the first which I would like to show you, this is as at tab 20, ERN

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 MLI-OTP-0012-0748. If it could be shown on the screen. (Speaks English)
- 2 Evidence 1? Two. Evidence 2.
- 3 THE COURT OFFICER: [15:06:48] Evidence channel 2.
- 4 MS CORBIN: [15:06:56](Interpretation)
- 5 Q. [15:06:58] So I would propose that we go through it methodologically, so
- 6 that we can all follow. So if you can comment on the form of this document.
- 7 Quite simply, let's start at the top and go towards the bottom of the document.
- 8 So let's start at the top of the document. If you could describe this, if you
- 9 would be so kind.
- 10 A. [15:07:28] So the message, MLI-OTP-0012-0748, this is a message from the
- DSM with the stamps of the DSM on it. It's a message which is being sent to
- the ministry of defence of the former combatants, the chief of general staff, and
- the commander of operations, and a copy of this message is being sent to IGAS,
- 14 the General Inspectorate for the Service Army -- the General Inspectorate for
- 15 the Armies and Service. That's it. *L'Inspection générale des armées et services*,
- in French.
- 17 Q. [15:09:06] Just to be clear with regards to the addressee, these are their
- acronyms which figure after "<u>To:</u>", are they not? "MDAC-CEM/GA CDT
- 19 OPS"?
- 20 A. [15:09:25] Yes, that's correct.
- 21 Q. [15:09:38] IGAS? What is this entity? Why is that copied, the I-G-A-S,
- 22 l'Inspection générale des armées et services?
- 23 A. [15:09:52] IGAS is the General Inspectorate of Armies and Service. So
- 24 the head, it's the General Inspector of Armies and Service. You know that in
- 25 all major institutions, you have the inspection services which are responsible

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 for controlling or monitoring the proper conduct of all directorates and entities
- 2 under the authority of the minister of defence. IGAS is directly under the
- 3 orders of the ministry of defence, and, according to the needs, it carries out
- 4 missions, supervisory missions and inspections, and monitoring of the proper
- 5 conduct within these departments and the proper functioning of these major
- 6 units of the department. So it's a very important unit. And from our
- 7 perspective, without being directly in the chain of intelligence, it should be
- 8 informed, nevertheless, as to what is happening with regards to the general
- 9 developments in the situation. And that's the reason why you will see that
- 10 the other entities are never mentioned in the same line. It's generally -- 90 per
- 11 cent of the time, it is put in as copy.
- 12 Q. [15:12:07] So a clarification with regards to the addressee "BKO", what's
- 13 that?
- 14 A. [15:12:17] Bamako.
- 15 Q. [15:12:20] Bamako. There are three stamps in the document and what
- 16 are they?
- 17 A. [15:12:35] There's the "**SECRET DEFENCE**" stamp. You will see that
- 18 most of the documents coming from the DSM are documents which are
- 19 extremely sensitive. Very, very sensitive. They must not be known of even
- among most of the units within the army. So the secret nature of the defence
- or the "SECRET DEFENCE", the writing there, that's what it's supposed to do.
- 22 There's also "extremely urgent", which is written on it as well. That means
- 23 that the information has to be communicated quickly. Those who are
- 24 responsible for receiving the document or editing these documents --
- 25 THE INTERPRETER: [15:14:05] Corrects the interpreter --

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 THE WITNESS: [15:14:06](Interpretation) -- they must know the importance
- 2 of this stamp. They must not delay within the secretariat. And the third
- 3 stamp, that's the stamp that links us to the ministry of defence, and it means it
- 4 should not go for external diffusion or dissemination.
- 5 The DSM is saying that all this is to stress the fact that it is secret -- everything
- 6 that's being done is to show that it's secret, and it's about the need for
- 7 expeditiousness and speed when it comes to this message.
- 8 MS CORBIN: [15:15:26](Interpretation)
- 9 Q. [15:15:26] The contents starts with, "Clear number", followed by a number.
- 10 What does that mean and whose put that number on it?
- 11 A. [15:15:38] Well, what I was saying, when I spoke earlier about the
- 12 functioning of the archives and the document, they are classified in
- 13 a chronological way in accordance with the number scheme, and, as such, the
- number "0118", that we can see, tells us that in 15 days, 118 dispatches were
- sent. It's the 118th message of the year.
- And you asked, who wrote that number onto the message, and that was the
- individual secretary working for the director of military security. That's it.
- 18 Q. [15:17:28] Now, we see a date right beside that, 18 January 2012. Was
- 19 that the date on which the document was sent out?
- 20 A. [15:17:40] The 18th of January 2012. Well, first of all, the date on which
- 21 the message was drafted, between the time of the drafting and the sending of
- 22 the message, there may be a few hours or one or two days, but when you see
- 23 the heading of this message, the secretary in charge of processing this
- 24 information and forwarding it does everything possible to ensure that the
- 25 document does not sit on a desk for a day.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 So the document was definitely drafted on 18 January and signed on 18
- 2 January. After that, it goes through the secretarial process. And, as I was
- 3 saying, the secretaries do all they can to avoid keeping these documents for
- 4 hours and hours more. Otherwise, there could be a penalty if the intelligence
- 5 is not forwarded on time as requested, and that is all depending on the specific
- 6 nature of the DSM.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:20:09](Interpretation) Madam Prosecutor,
- 8 excuzez-moi.
- 9 Just a clarification, Mr Witness, what does *message porté* mean? You said that
- 10 it's the particular secretary who attributes the number, and then the message is
- given to a soldier to -- and that person takes it to the minister.
- 12 How does it all work?
- 13 THE WITNESS: [15:20:36](Interpretation) Exactly, your Honour. The
- 14 *message porté* is a message that is dealt with by the secretary quickly. Because
- of the urgency, he puts the number on it, he stamps it with the various stamps,
- 16 he puts it in an envelope, he calls a soldier and tells the soldier to provide the
- document immediately to his counterpart at the ministry of defence.
- Now, to better understand the speed at which this is done, you see, the unit is
- 19 organised around the time -- the times at which the mail comes in. After the
- 20 briefing at 9 -- well, at 9 o'clock, the mail comes in. At 11 o'clock, you have
- 21 mail coming in. In the afternoon, more mail comes in. So you have to be
- very organised with the documents.
- 23 However, the *message porté* and certain other correspondence can't wait the
- 24 usual processing of mail, and, then, such messages are taken out of the
- ordinary correspondence and sent off immediately. The director can't always

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 be in his office and, if ever an urgent piece of correspondence ends up in the
- 2 normal correspondence, you can lose a lot of time, quite a bit of time. So we
- 3 take the initiative of removing that mail from the general mail. And, you see,
- 4 it's an item of correspondence that cannot wait. It is dealt with by the deputy
- 5 director, and it's all to ensure speediness.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:23:07](Interpretation) Thank you very
- 7 much, completely understood.
- 8 Madam Prosecutor.
- 9 MS CORBIN: [15:23:10](Interpretation)
- 10 Q. [15:23:11] Now, to understand how the document is created, could you
- 11 please read the content.
- 12 Oh, have you already done that?
- 13 A. [15:23:33] Uncoded number "-0118/DSM" --
- 14 Q. [15:23:38] Could you read it to yourself.
- 15 A. [15:23:41] Oh, to myself.
- 16 Q. [15:23:46] We just want to know what the document is all about.
- 17 What is this message all about?
- 18 A. [15:25:03] It has to do with the attack upon the Aguelhok camp and it
- 19 specifies to some extent the nature of the attackers and their operating methods.
- 20 It describes the situation of friends and, in particular, one person who was
- 21 wounded, a wound to the head, one of our soldiers.
- 22 So that is basically the content of this message.
- 23 Q. [15:26:13] To your knowledge, what is the typical source of such
- 24 information?
- 25 A. [15:26:24] Given the specific nature of this information, I couldn't say

ICC-01/12-01/18

- that -- I couldn't say that it was a message from a *capteur*, from an agent within
- 2 the unit. The message speaks of the method of attack, the means used, the
- 3 means of the friendly forces and their difficulties. So this is intelligence that is
- 4 so well developed that the message comes from a *capteur*, an agent.
- 5 Q. [15:27:50] At the very bottom of the document, we see a signature. Do
- 6 you recognise this signature?
- 7 A. [15:28:00] Yes, I do recognise the signature, Youssouf Goita, brigadier
- 8 general, director of military intelligence at the time of the events.
- 9 Q. [15:28:35] Other than the director of the DSM, could other people sign
- 10 these *messages portés*?
- 11 A. [15:28:47] Yes. Right from the outset, your Honour, I'd like to say that
- 12 these *messages portés* were such that -- well, you see, such messages could not
- wait in a secretarial office or anything like that. The director may have to be
- 14 away, for several reasons meeting with the minister, meeting other
- directors and, if the director was away, the deputy director would replace
- 16 him and carry out all his duties.
- 17 Q. [15:30:02] You told us earlier that you were the deputy director at the
- 18 DSM until March of 2012. When you were deputy director, what knowledge
- 19 did you have of all the *messages portés* issued by the DSM?
- 20 A. [15:30:27] As the deputy director, all those documents would go through
- 21 the deputy director. He was sort of a filter. He would not sign, but he might
- 22 make changes, depending on his knowledge of the content of the message
- 23 before -- before writing "vu" and preparing the document for signature by the
- 24 director. So the deputy director would be entirely aware of all the messages
- 25 and documents that would be put to the director for his signature, and he

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 would sign them. Or after -- well, if the director -- or he would sign them too,
- 2 if the deputy -- if the director was away.
- 3 So as deputy director, I was entirely familiar with the content of the various
- 4 messages that were provided to the director for his signature.
- 5 Q. [15:32:15] I have nearly concluded my questions.
- 6 Now, we can see a stamp on one of these signatures. What is this stamp?
- 7 A. [15:32:24] That is the stamp -- it's not easy to make out, but it is the stamp
- 8 of the DSM.
- 9 Q. [15:32:35] Thank you. Is that the standard format for a message porté?
- 10 A. [15:32:44] Yes, that is the general form or shape of a conventional *message*
- 11 porté.
- 12 Q. [15:33:02] And this is also the conventional heading of the DSM?
- 13 A. [15:33:10] Yes. The heading and the stamp of the DSM, the
- 14 conventional -- the usual heading and stamp.
- 15 Q. [15:33:24] Thank you. Thank you, Mr Witness, I have concluded for
- 16 today.
- 17 A. [15:33:32] Thank you.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:33:33](Interpretation) Very well, Madam
- 19 Prosecutor, you have finished for today. Do you have any idea how much
- 20 time you will be needing to conclude your examination-in-chief?
- 21 MS CORBIN: [15:33:49](Interpretation) It's not easy to give a specific estimate,
- 22 but I --
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:34:01](Interpretation) Well, think about it
- 24 and let us know tomorrow. Is that okay? Is that possible?
- 25 MS CORBIN: [15:34:09](Interpretation) Yes, that is possible.

(Open Session)

ICC-01/12-01/18

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE MINDUA: [15:34:11](Interpretation) Very well.
- 2 Thank you very much, Madam Prosecutor.
- 3 Mr Witness, it is 3.35 and the time has come for us to conclude today's hearing.
- 4 Once again, the Chamber thanks you very, very much for being so kind as to
- 5 answer the questions in a very clear and measured fashion and, since your
- 6 testimony has not concluded, please return tomorrow.
- 7 And our schedule provides for a 9.30 am start, is that correct, Madam
- 8 courtroom officer? Madam, tomorrow, 9.30?
- 9 Yes, that's correct.
- 10 In the meantime, Mr Witness, as you must realise, you cannot speak about
- 11 your testimony to anyone whatsoever.
- 12 I would now like to thank all the parties and participants. I thank the court
- 13 reporters and the interpreters. I shan't forget our security officers. And,
- once again, I express my gratitude to the public in the gallery and those who
- are listening from afar. I'd like to wish everyone a very pleasant evening, and
- 16 we resume tomorrow.
- 17 The hearing is adjourned.
- 18 THE COURT USHER: [15:35:49] All rise.
- 19 (The hearing ends in open session at 3.35 p.m.)