WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 International Criminal Court
- 2 Trial Chamber IX
- 3 Situation: Republic of Uganda
- 4 In the case of The Prosecutor v. Dominic Ongwen ICC-02/04-01/15
- 5 Presiding Judge Bertram Schmitt, Judge Péter Kovács and
- 6 Judge Raul Cano Pangalangan
- 7 Trial Hearing Courtroom 3
- 8 Thursday, 28 February 2019
- 9 (The hearing starts in open session at 9.32 a.m.)
- 10 THE COURT USHER: [9:32:03] All rise.
- 11 The International Criminal Court is now in session.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:26] Good morning, everyone.
- 13 Could the court officer please call the case.
- 14 THE COURT OFFICER: [9:32:34] Good morning, Mr President, your Honours.
- 15 The situation in the Republic of Uganda, in the case of The Prosecutor versus
- 16 Dominic Ongwen, case reference ICC-02/04-01/15.
- 17 For the record, we are in open session.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:46] Thank you.
- 19 I ask for the appearances of the parties, which is no surprise for anyone.
- 20 MS HOHLER: [9:32:52] Indeed, your Honour --
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:53] Ms Hohler, please.
- 22 MS HOHLER: [9:32:53] -- and I am going to try to do this without turning back.
- 23 I am training my memory.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:32:56] We will control that, yes.
- 25 MS HOHLER: [9:32:59] Yes, I'm sure you will.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 For the Prosecution today, Benjamin Gumpert, Colin Black, Sanyu Ndagire, Colleen
- 2 Gilg, Pubudu Sachithanandan, Adesola Adeboyejo, Jasmina Suljanovic,
- 3 Laura de Leeuw and Natasha Barigye. Did I do it?
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:17] Yes, indeed --
- 5 MS HOHLER: [9:33:17] And myself, Beti Hohler.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:18] -- indeed. Indeed. Not unimportant, I
- 7 would say.
- 8 Ms Massidda, please.
- 9 MS MASSIDDA: [9:33:22] Good morning, Mr President, your Honours. For the
- 10 Common Legal Representative team, Caroline Walter, Orchlon Narantsetseg and
- 11 myself, Paolina Massidda.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:34] Thank you.
- 13 And Mr Manoba.
- 14 MR MANOBA: [9:33:37] Good morning, Mr President. James Mawira and myself,
- 15 Joseph Manoba.
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:42] And finally for the Defence --
- 17 MR KIFUDDE: [9:33:44] Good morning, Mr President --
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:33:44] -- Mr Kifudde.
- 19 MR KIFUDDE: [9:33:44] -- and your Honours. For the Defence we have myself,
- 20 Gordon Kifudde, assistant to counsel; we have counsel Krispus Ayena Odongo; we
- 21 have co-counsel Chief Taku Achaleke Charles; we have co-counsel Beth Lyons; we
- 22 have our case manager, Tibor Bajnovic; we have our legal consultant, Eniko Sandor;
- 23 and we have our intern, Hagop Mouradian; plus our client Dominic Ongwen is in
- 24 court.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:34:12] Thank you, Mr Kifudde.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 And before we continue with the testimony of the witness, and you could already

- 2 guess it from the fact that he is not in the courtroom, we have to issue a short oral
- 3 decision or I should better word it, an oral decision containing of two parts.
- 4 Yesterday the Prosecution informed the Chamber and the parties via email that it
- 5 added two documents to its list of items it intends to use during the testimony of the
- 6 current witness, which is D-133. Also yesterday the Defence responded via email
- 7 objecting to the addition.
- 8 The Defence is right when arguing that this addition is not in conformity with
- 9 decision 497, paragraph 20. However, the Chamber notes that one of the items is
- mentioned in D-133's report and therefore closely related to his testimony.
- 11 The other item is already submitted into evidence and linked to a topic on which
- 12 D-133 has already testified; that is the subject of abduction in the LRA.
- 13 Accordingly, the Chamber does not consider that undue prejudice is caused by
- allowing the Prosecution to use both items during the questioning of D-133. Of
- 15 course, the Defence will have the opportunity as always to question the witness last.
- 16 Second part of this oral decision. The Chamber also notes that the Prosecution
- objects to the Defence's email request from yesterday for D-133 to have a passively
- 18 monitored visit with Mr Ongwen after his testimony.
- 19 It is noted that there is no objection to having a post-testimony courtesy visit, only
- 20 a disagreement as to how this visit should be monitored. The Chamber sees no risk
- of a passively monitored visit with D-133, an expert witness who was never in the
- 22 LRA, affecting the interest justifying Mr Ongwen's contact restrictions.
- 23 The Prosecution's objection is therefore overruled. D-133 may have a passively
- 24 monitored courtesy visit with the accused, subject to the ordinary procedure at the
- court's detention centre and approval by the Registry.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 So this concludes the short decision by the Chamber, and I give now the floor to

- 2 Ms Lyons.
- 3 MS LYONS: [9:36:43] Thank you, your Honour. I appreciate your decisions, but for
- 4 the record I would like to register an objection to the first decision.
- 5 We are obviously in full agreement that there is no conformity with the -- with the
- 6 process outlined in paragraph 2497, which is unequivocal. But just based on that,
- 7 the issue is process and principle. Our position is there are principles here, the
- 8 purpose of which were to provide notice to the opposing party. We have a five-day
- 9 rule, there's a one-day rule. So the issue is notice, and on that basis we would object
- 10 to your --
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:37:30] (Overlapping speakers).
- 12 MS LYONS: [9:37:30] -- ruling. And second, the other point I want to say, is in
- 13 footnote 5, the Dolan article -- book. The Prosecution had notice of this since the day it
- received the report; it's in footnote 5. So there is no reason that it should come at
- such short notice to us. I am not talking about the content of it, and does witness
- 16 know or do we know or have we read it. I am talking about the process issue.
- 17 They had notice; it is not new.
- And lastly, it appears that based on the end of the email that was sent, that
- 19 the Prosecution even recognises that it is late and this is not an exigent circumstance.
- 20 So it seems to me that the rules should be maintained and the items should still be
- 21 excluded and that's the reason that we object on the record to your ruling of the first
- 22 part. Thank you.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:38:33] Yes, this is -- of course I think we have
- 24 already pronounced our assessment of the issue, which mainly relies upon the
- 25 question if there could be any prejudice given, given the content of the two items that

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 the Prosecution wants to use for their questioning, and we have done this and we
- 2 have taken notice of your objection and the witness can now be brought in.
- 3 (The witness enters the courtroom)
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:40:05] Good morning, Mr Awich. Welcome
- 5 again in the courtroom on behalf of the Chamber. I hope you had a nice relaxing
- 6 day. It was wonderful weather, so perhaps you had a good time yesterday when
- 7 there was no hearing and we welcome you again in the courtroom.
- 8 WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133 (On former oath)
- 9 (The witness speaks English)
- 10 THE WITNESS: [9:40:26] Thank you, your Honour.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:40:27] Ms Lyons, you can continue with the
- 12 examination.
- 13 MS LYONS: [9:40:32] Thank you, your Honour. I am making best efforts to be
- 14 self-reliant today with the microphone. We will see how far it goes. All right. I
- 15 will hopefully so that --
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:40:42] But this does not dispose Mr Bajnovic of
- 17 his obligations.
- 18 MS LYONS: [9:40:47] We got it, we got it. I'm just using, as they say, the North
- 19 Korean principle of Juche, self-reliance, okay, that's what I'm following here. Okay.
- 20 QUESTIONED BY MS LYONS: (Continuing)
- 21 Q. [9:41:02] Good morning and hope you had a restful day. And I will hopefully
- 22 finish up in the first session. I say this to you because you are working very hard
- 23 and to the judge and the rest of us because we are all working here. Okay.
- Now, yesterday you -- not yesterday, Tuesday, you talked about your election to the
- committee on the convention of the rights of children under article 43 and you very

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 briefly mentioned that the role of that committee was compliance.

- 2 Could you say in a little bit more detail what the work entailed and what you did?
- 3 A. [9:41:50] Yes, what the work entails is that we look at the commitments of
- 4 ratifying states and we check if the ratifying state is undertaking their obligations
- 5 thereto. Because states are expected to respect and not only to respect, but to ensure
- 6 that there is respect. In other words, it is not enough for Sweden, for example, to say
- 7 that "We are respecting and protecting children from being involved in armed
- 8 conflict", but Sweden should go ahead and ensure that other countries like Uganda is
- 9 also respecting. And they do this through many forums, international bodies like
- 10 UN where they are a member. They do it through bilateral relationships with
- 11 member states, like between Sweden and Uganda. They do it through other
- 12 multilateral bodies like Save the Children or UNICEF. But the sum of it all is that
- they have respected and they are seeing that other states are respecting.
- 14 In practical terms, the whole world should save me, Awich, from being a child soldier.
- 15 So we do this by examining states' activities provision by provision, article by article.
- 16 We give them reporting guidelines and from that reporting guidelines we check each
- state's activity, if it is in compliance or it is not, and we give what we call a concluding
- 18 recommendation of the committee.
- 19 In other words, this is a UN document that is telling the states, first appreciating the
- 20 good work they have done in the compliance, and showing them the areas that they
- 21 need to do more.
- 22 So briefly, this is how we do it.
- 23 Q. [9:45:52] Thank you. Now not everyone in this room has read the convention
- 24 on -- maybe they have, but I am not assuming that everyone has read the convention
- on the rights of children or the optional protocol in regard to the use of children in

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 armed struggle. Maybe you could summarise, with a list, just a few of the rights and

- 2 obligations of state parties. What is it, specifically, that is being ensured by, by
- 3 a country and by others watching that country, by the world?
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:46:38] But please keep it short and I explain the
- 5 reason. You said not everybody might know it in the room. I am not sure in that
- 6 respect, especially when we refer to this room here. And, of course, the addressees
- 7 of everything what is said here are the judges and they know this human rights law
- 8 body.
- 9 MS LYONS: [9:47:01] I recognise that, your Honour. But for the purposes of the
- 10 record --
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:47:05] But the record, you know, the record is
- also nothing in the abstract. The underlying law is always, you don't have to put
- this on the record, the law is there, so to speak. It is, for example, in here. And the
- 14 human rights law that the witness expert can talk about is in other bodies, which is
- 15 not incorporated here but via Article 21(3) has anyway to be recognised by this
- 16 Chamber. So this is clear to us and we know that.
- 17 But, Mr Witness, I simply wanted to flag you and I know from Tuesday already that
- 18 you understand immediately what I am heading at and that you simply perhaps
- 19 wrap it up a little bit.
- 20 THE WITNESS: [9:47:55] Yes. I -- my answer to that is that the compliance that we
- 21 are talking about or that we talk about in relationship to children and armed conflict
- is that we want to see, or we, as mandated to see, how states are protecting children.
- 23 For example, in specific terms to article 38, which is about children and armed conflict
- 24 and goes inside to humanitarian law. But the point here is that states are supposed
- 25 to ensure that, one, in armed conflict situation, children are entitled to education.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 States should do that. And this includes religious education and other education in

- 2 consonance with the guidance of the parents or the people that authorise under the
- 3 law. For example a grandmother, if a child is with a grandmother.
- 4 The other thing that we see or that the committee ensures compliance is that states
- 5 should ensure that no child below 14 is recruited into the armed forces or by any
- 6 armed group.
- 7 And as I said, here is talking of states, not only the state where the war is. In other
- 8 words, here we always say that the parties that are obligated to ensure that this child
- 9 is not in armed conflict starts with the whole world because good enough this
- 10 convention is ratified universally, it has universal ratification, so the whole world has
- 11 the duty to make sure a child is not involved.
- 12 The other party is the host country, and that is why we dialogue at length with the
- reporting state before us, what have they done to ensure that a child is not there.
- 14 The other one is what we call customary stakeholders, the traditions, because it is
- 15 recognised that long-standing traditions has dos and do nots in respect to war in as
- 16 far as women and children are concerned. So customs, in relation to the war is what
- in committee we call it the second pillar of protection, the first pillar of protection
- being those established by treaties. So these people will always ensure that they
- 19 should make sure that it is their obligation that no child is involved in armed conflict.
- 20 The other thing that we ensure is evacuation, that every child should be evacuated
- 21 from any theatre or any scene of armed conflicts. And again, the obligations are on
- 22 all the other parties that I mentioned, that a child is evacuated from armed conflict.
- 23 The other thing is that we also ensure that children who, by any accident were
- 24 involved in hostilities, whether they be war prisoners or not thereafter, should not be
- 25 held responsible for acts that were committed when they were children.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 I have tried to say this in practical terms, because, your Honour, I am trying to avoid

- 2 citing the law, lest I would be seen --
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:53:03] I think we have understood your point.
- 4 THE WITNESS: [9:53:07] Yes.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:53:07] And you have also heard on Tuesday my
- 6 initial remarks. I think we leave it at that.
- 7 MS LYONS: [9:53:13] Thank you.
- 8 Q. [9:53:19] I want to ask you, if you know, what did Uganda do between the
- 9 period 1989 when it ratified the convention through 2005, for example, this period, to
- implement its state obligations as a party first to the convention and then later in I
- think 2000/2002 to the optional protocols? Could you talk a little bit about this if you
- 12 have some information, please.
- 13 A. [9:54:02] Yes. After ratification, Uganda went ahead to check if the Child Act
- 14 was in consonance, so there was that legal move, so that was the first step.
- 15 The other step that was tried is to see that children recovered or children involved in
- 16 armed conflict were rehabilitated. And I think it's around that bracket that our first
- 17 lot of children who were involved in the war were handled.
- But it also continued, as I said, that the unfortunate history of our country is that war
- 19 after the other. Also it came up to the new set of children, so it continued with the
- 20 practicalities of receiving those who were recovered and trying to handle them in
- 21 compliance with that convention. And as I said before, it is where there was this
- 22 MOU to hand them over to civil authority and not to keep them in the army.
- 23 I must add, though, that while I tried to look at what Uganda did, I cannot, as a child
- 24 rights activist, I cannot afford to miss out what it did not do, because it keeps paining
- 25 me is that because while Uganda tried to do this, including its reporting later to -- the

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 periodic reporting that it did to UN in Geneva when I was already on the committee,

- 2 it's that the war of the Lord's Resistance Army, children were not protected enough as
- 3 demanded. Not enough prevention was given for their not being involved and not
- 4 enough protection was given for them being removed.
- 5 So if I was to give a balanced account, I would start by noticing the good that was
- 6 done, but I can also not forget to mention the not good that was not done. And I
- 7 think this is what put a lot of children in that situation, and you just can't say it is their
- 8 bad luck. Under the obligation, as I said, the world and Uganda should have done
- 9 something.
- 10 Q. [9:57:31] One moment.
- Now what I would like to do is call your attention to tab number 9, which is a part of
- 12 the speech by the former UN Under-Secretary-General and Special Representative for
- 13 Children in Armed Struggle, *Dr Olara Otunnu, accepting the Sydney Peace Prize. It
- is at tab 9, and there are two parts to it. You don't have to read it. I will point you
- to the quotation I am interested in and then ask you a question.
- In the first part of the speech at tab 9, and it is for the record ERN ending 2776,
- *Dr Otunnu states, quote: "For over 10 years --"
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:58:50] Perhaps you, because there is so much on
- 19 these papers, the type is very small, you guide us a little bit where you are.
- 20 MS LYONS: [9:58:58] Yes, absolutely, absolutely.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:58:59] Because there is a lot on this page.
- 22 MS LYONS: [9:59:03] Yes, yes, yes. Thank you, thank you. My error.
- 23 Q. We have in paragraph page ending 276, it is the eighth paragraph, yes, the
- 24 eighth paragraph down.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:59:21] Starting with?

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 MS LYONS: [9:59:22] Starting with "For over 10 years".
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [9:59:26] Okay, then I have counted correctly.
- 3 Please proceed.
- 4 MS LYONS: [9:59:31] Okay. And I did too, which that's good.
- 5 Q. [9:59:34] Now, okay, are you already with this, Mr Awich? Okay.
- 6 "For over 10 years, a population of almost two million people have been herded like
- 7 animals into concentration camps, some 200 ... in all (although the camps are
- 8 predominantly concentrated in Acholi 95% of the Acholi are in the camps, Lango
- 9 and Teso are also gravely affected) in abominable living conditions, defined by
- 10 staggering levels of squalor, disease and death, humiliation and despair, appalling
- sanitation and hygiene, massive overcrowding and malnutrition."
- 12 And then he goes on to give statistics about the dire infant immortality rates, maternal
- 13 child care, et cetera.
- 14 My question to you is, do the conditions, accepting the conditions as he describes
- 15 them for the purposes of this report, do these conditions comport with your
- 16 understanding of what the provisions are that need to be implemented and ensured
- 17 under the convention or optional protocol?
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:01:05] Ms Hohler.
- 19 MS HOHLER: [10:01:07] Your Honours, these documents refer to the conditions in
- 20 the IDP camps. I for one fail to see the relevance of this for the issues at trial here,
- 21 and in particular taking into consideration the expertise of Mr Awich.
- 22 Moreover, what I believe the learned counsel is asking is about the responsibility of
- 23 Uganda. So that is, first of all, a matter of law; and second of all, we are not here to
- 24 discuss the State responsibility, so to say. Those would be my objection.
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:01:49] I think we can alleviate the thing. I

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 would partly agree with you. But we have with Mr Awich, we have a person here

- 2 who is an expert on child rights.
- 3 And Ms Lyons now, if Mr Awich can comment on what this meant for the lives of
- 4 children in these camps, I would allow it, and then we move to another point. Yes.
- 5 MS LYONS: [10:02:17] Your Honour, listening to Ms Hohler and to you, let me read
- 6 the section on infant mortality, because that talks about children.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:02:27] Okay.
- 8 MS LYONS: [10:02:28] That's okay?
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:02:29] I am fine, I am fine with that.
- 10 MS LYONS: [10:02:31] I am guided.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:02:31] And Mr Awich always follows what we
- 12 are talking about.
- 13 MS LYONS: [10:02:35] Absolutely.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: And we will pick it up and he then can comment on
- 15 certain conditions that are mentioned here. Of course not, not -- if he is of a different
- opinion, if the situation in his opinion has been different, he will state that, and if it
- was like that, he can comment on the results, the effects of that on infants and on
- 18 children. So please.
- 19 MS LYONS: [10:03:00]
- 20 Q. [10:03:00] Now looking at the same page ending in 2776, the 11th paragraph, he
- 21 has described, as I read, conditions in the camps.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:03:11] That's okay, yes.
- 23 MS LYONS: [10:03:13]
- 24 Q. And here then he says, "These camps are the worst infant mortality rate
- 25 anywhere in the world today." And then he goes into the infant mortality rate in

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 northern Uganda, and the situation is worse for children under five.
- 2 So my question is whether this description -- or your comment on this description, if
- 3 you have a comment.
- 4 A. [10:03:45] Your Honour, my comment on this description is that one
- 5 indisputable fact is that there were camps. And camps were initiative of the
- 6 government. It's government who initiated camps for security reasons that they
- 7 brought forward. But the details of the statistics, as according to *Olara Otunnu, I am
- 8 seeing it for the first time.
- 9 But what I can say is that the conditions in the camps were hard and more hard for
- 10 the children. And in my expertise experience is that there is the principle of
- 11 non-derogation that under all conditions in conflict, no derogation should be done to
- 12 avoid the protection of children.
- 13 So it wouldn't even have been an excuse that there is war or there is camp and there is
- 14 no food, but we -- they still -- we should have still said, look, under whatever
- 15 conditions, there is never a derogation to the rights of the child.
- But these statistics as I see them, and bearing in mind that the established fact is that
- there were camps, even if there was still exaggeration or less of it in this data, my
- 18 comment is that it is true the children's conditions were bad. And I am saying that
- 19 even if the population condition were bad, it does not give anybody a right to
- 20 derogate from ensuring, as I said the word "ensurance", from ensuring that children's
- 21 right are protected. So this is my comment about this.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:06:10] Thank you, Mr Awich.
- 23 I think you can move on, Ms Lyons.
- 24 MS LYONS: [10:06:13] Yes, I am going to move on to the next point. Thank you.
- 25 Q. [10:06:18] Now, Mr Awich, I call your attention to the report, it is a UN report

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- on the rights of the child at tab 6. And I want to focus on one paragraph here and
- 2 give you an opportunity to read it. It's at paragraph 45, the ERN number for the
- 3 record of this page, which is page 13 on the UN report is UGA.00132.435. And this
- 4 a paragraph that describes the home guards under the UPDF and the recruitment
- 5 of underage children into these groups. This report, so it is upfront, was written
- 6 in 2001.
- 7 So please look at that paragraph 45 and then I will ask my question when you have
- 8 had a chance to read it.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:08:31] I think, Ms Lyons, you are interested
- specifically in one information here in paragraph 45, and I think you can simply pick
- 11 this out and ask the --
- 12 MS LYONS: [10:08:42] Yes, I have one simple question which you may or may not
- 13 be able to answer, okay.
- 14 Q. [10:08:49] This report, as I mentioned, was from 2001. My question to you is:
- 15 Do you have any information about UPDF recruitment of children to fight in the
- 16 home guards or in other local defence units in the period of 2002 to 2005? That is my
- 17 question, if you know?
- 18 A. [10:09:18] I don't have any information.
- 19 Q. [10:09:21] Thank you. (Microphone not activated) Now moving on, I would
- 20 like to call your attention to tab 4. Tab 4 is a statement in your binder, it is
- 21 UGA -- sorry, UGA-OTP-0261-03 -- 038 -- 0380, and it is a document from the Acholi
- 22 Religious Leaders' Peace Initiative, ARLPI.
- Now, I am looking at the first paragraph on page 381: "To put it in a nutshell" –
- 24 it starts:
- 25 "To put it in a nutshell, all their potential future in life, as normal children in other

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 parts of the world, has been ruined and lost completely. No doubt, these were the

- 2 circumstances under which Dominic Ongwen, like, all other formerly abducted
- 3 unfortunate children of Northern Uganda, were forcefully subjected to and
- 4 conditioned to becoming a killing machine in the hands of the LRA, as an
- 5 organization."
- 6 Do you have any comment on this?
- 7 A. [10:11:14] Yes, I have a comment on this, that this statement, I agree with it.
- 8 And in my day-to-day interface with the communities and other stakeholders as -- as
- 9 a child rights or particularly children involved in armed conflict practitioner, I have
- always wondered whether there is a difference between me, Awich, a child soldier,
- my rights being violated by being a child soldier, and me, Awich, passing the age 18
- 12 and I am used to violate the rights of others.
- 13 Whether there is no difference between me being used to victimise others and
- 14 actually me victimising others when I am already an adult. This is why here it
- is -- the statement is going to say becoming a killing machine. In other words, I am
- 16 not -- even if I have passed the age of 18 in that bondage I have grown up in as a child
- soldier, I am still being used to victimise others. Not me now saying, "Okay, because
- I was a child, I was victimised and now that I am 19, I victimise others." No. I am
- 19 still being used to victimise others.
- 20 So I agree with this, this statement, especially when it says "conditioned to become
- 21 a killing machine". And that has been my concern again and again in my interface
- 22 with all stakeholders, that do I choose when I am still in bondage to victimise others?
- 23 Do it consciously because I was victimised by being a child soldier or I'm even being
- victimised by being used to victimise others?
- 25 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:13:38] I think we had this point. This was

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- a little bit -- in the detail, it was a little bit different but we have already entertained,
- 2 so to speak, this issue on Tuesday.
- 3 MS LYONS: [10:13:55] Thank you, your Honour. One moment.
- 4 Q. [10:14:11] Now, this document is a plea that a child soldier, in this case
- 5 Mr Ongwen, he returned to Uganda to undergo a process of, excuse the
- 6 pronunciation, mato oput, which involves truth telling and reconciliation. You spoke
- 7 a little bit about different prophecies to resolve the situation of child soldiers with the
- 8 community on Tuesday.
- 9 Do you have anything more you want to say about the different prophecies and your
- 10 views?
- 11 A. [10:14:57] Not pretty much to add, just to clarify that, even at the international
- 12 ensurance of protection of children, I already said earlier that the first pillar is as
- established by treaties, but the second pillar is also customs. And I know for a fact
- 14 that in Acholi, there has been initiative to do that, and the people were willing to have
- 15 it. Actually, you could say it is what was more bottom-top than top-bottom. It was
- a demand by the people; it was not an imposition.
- 17 So I think this approach would help, especially knowing the very many numbers of
- children who were involved, that litigation would be a normal way of doing things,
- but I think this one would be more acceptable, the people would know it, since it is
- 20 from them anyway. So that's my comment about that.
- 21 Q. [10:16:33] Thank you. Now let me ask you a hypothetical. If a state party,
- state party X, made a decision to prosecute a child soldier, what would your comment
- 23 on this be or how would you approach this resolution?
- 24 A. [10:17:00] If a state party made a resolution to prosecute a child soldier, I believe
- 25 we are using this word interchangeably with former child soldier --

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 MS LYONS: [10:17:17] Yes, yes, absolutely.
- 2 MR GUMPERT: [10:17:18] Well, I object if we are, because then the witness is being
- 3 asked to comment directly on the propriety of this and (Overlapping speakers)
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:17:26] Yes, I --
- 5 MR GUMPERT: [10:17:26] -- other such processes.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:17:26] -- I -- exactly, that is sustained. I said it
- 7 in the beginning that we'd -- we will not allow, as a Chamber, comments on the
- 8 appropriateness or justification of these proceedings here, also not via the back door,
- 9 so to speak.
- 10 MS LYONS: [10:17:42] May I just say one thing for the record. I heard you,
- 11 your Honour, and my understanding was that as an expert, the calling party is
- 12 permitted to ask hypotheticals. So I phrased my question not in terms of this Court,
- 13 but particularly in terms of States Parties where in fact there were practices in various
- states, but I will abide by your ruling, your Honour.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:18:08] Yes, please, please abide by this ruling.
- 16 MS LYONS: [10:18:15] Okay. All right.
- 17 Q. [10:18:15] Now I am going to move on to another area. Please take a look, if
- 18 you still have your transcript sheet, it's at number 11, it is the transcript sheet
- 19 number 11 on page 14 -- the transcript chart, sorry.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:19:13] I don't have here any, any bold passages.
- 21 MS LYONS: [10:19:20] No.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:19:20] So you have to guide us again.
- 23 MS LYONS: [10:19:24] Thank you, your Honour. I will just -- it's very --
- 24 MR GUMPERT: [10:19:25] Your Honour, I am sorry, I object again. This is my

25 opening statement. No?

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 MS LYONS: [10:19:31] (Microphone not activated) not yours. It is not yours,

- 2 sorry.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:19:35] Okay.
- 4 MR GUMPERT: [10:19:36] This is the Prosecution's opening statement, as I
- 5 understand it. It says OTP opening statement. If it isn't, then Ms Lyons has
- 6 misinformed us in the past, but I am going to proceed on the basis that she hasn't. It
- 7 is not appropriate, I would submit, to invite witnesses to comment on the legal
- 8 submissions made in the course of these proceedings; that's not proper evidence.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:20:03] If you intend to do that, I again would
- 10 sustain this objection.
- 11 MS LYONS: [10:20:07] Your Honour, I would like to be heard as a calling party and
- then I will comply with your ruling.
- 13 First of all, Ms Lyons does not mislead the Chamber. It is the OTP opening
- 14 statements and it is not attributed to -- the OTP based on case law from other
- tribunals is one unit. I understand that comes from ICTR, but the bottom line is
- 16 I didn't specify who gave this. In fact, this was a part of the statement given by the
- 17 Chief Prosecutor Bensouda.
- 18 What I wanted to do, it is a public document, it is on the internet. I want to highlight
- 19 two brief sentences in it and ask the witness if he has any comment. That's all I want
- 20 to do with it. It is not a legal submission as we know that the submissions made by
- 21 counsel in the opening statement, it is not evidence, they are not legal submissions in
- 22 the strict sense of the word. So I feel that we can't throw these terms around loosely;
- 23 it is not a legal conclusion.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:21:18] We shorten this, I do it, I do it. It's
- 25 not -- I think it's not a huge problem.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Mr Awich, please listen, what would you say if somebody told you, "Okay, we know
- 2 there has been victimisation in the past, but this is not an excuse for actual
- 3 victimisation in the present?"
- 4 THE WITNESS: [10:21:42] What I would say is, "Yes, you are right, to the effect that
- 5 victimisation in the past does not justify victimisation now." But the person would
- 6 be wrong to the effect that am I victimising now? While I agree that victimisation in
- 7 the past does not justify now, but am I victimising now or I'm being used to victimise?
- 8 Is it me victimising? Or I am just a tool of victimisation. So I would disagree to that
- 9 extent.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:26] And to make it clear, I said what would
- 11 you say if somebody said, not I did say it. I was the messenger of some hypothetical
- 12 opinion of somebody.
- 13 THE WITNESS: [10:22:38] My Lord, I understand (Overlapping speakers)
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:41] You've understood it --
- 15 THE WITNESS: [10:22:41] Yeah, I've understood it, and --
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:42] -- of course, I immediately recognise --
- 17 THE WITNESS: [10:22:43] -- your Honour --
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:43] -- that you understand it --
- 19 THE WITNESS: [10:22:44] Yes, your Honour --
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:44] -- immediately --
- 21 THE WITNESS: [10:22:44] -- yes.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:22:44] -- yes.
- 23 Please continue, Ms Lyons.
- 24 MS LYONS: [10:22:48] Thank you, your Honour.
- 25 Q. [10:22:54] Now, moving on the similar topic, could you answer the question:

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Can a child soldier be both a victim and a perpetrator?
- 2 MR GUMPERT: [10:23:06] Objection; it's a legal conclusion. This Court is deciding
- 3 whether Mr Ongwen is a culpable perpetrator. The witness's opinion on it is neither
- 4 here nor there.
- 5 MS LYONS: [10:23:17] May I be heard?
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:23:19] Yes, please.
- 7 MS LYONS: [10:23:20] Yes. I strongly object to the assertions of the Prosecution.
- 8 The issue of perpetrator, clearly there is a context in which perpetrator is used as
- 9 a legal term, but I would point out in fact there are -- there's an article on the list of
- documents of -- from the, from the OTP that deals with victims as complex
- 11 perpetrators.
- 12 Now I could object we can't use the article because it has a legal conclusion, it is an
- 13 academic article. The point is perpetrators is used in the world beyond this
- 14 courtroom. We are not asking for a legal conclusion about whether a person is
- 15 a perpetrator. We are not asking. That's a conclusion of law. It would be
- a conclusion of law if I asked is this particular perpetrator innocent or guilty. That
- 17 should be ruled out. I can't ask that.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:24:22] It is a very -- really you try to make here
- 19 a very refined distinction. Since anyway the Chamber has to decide on these matters,
- 20 if Mr Awich refrains completely from any legal comments and, if I understood you
- 21 correctly, answers in a sort of criminological, sociological way, then be it so.
- 22 Mr Ayena, I think I have ruled upon it.
- 23 MR AYENA ODONGO: Pardon?
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:24:54] I think I have ruled on it.
- 25 MR AYENA ODONGO: [10:24:56] You have ruled already. I thought I would

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 make a brief submission, and the brief submission is that as far as I understand where
- 2 Ms Lyons is coming from, this is a witness, an expert witness on child soldiers, and
- 3 the question she is putting to her is a factual question.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:25:23] You can stop here.
- 5 MR AYENA ODONGO: Yes.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: I think exactly criminology and sociology are not
- 7 exact sciences. They are sciences, so to speak, that are based on empirical factual
- 8 considerations and facts, and he might, he might comment on it on this basis, but of
- 9 course -- and he understands it, but not say anything about responsibility. And
- 10 that's the ruling.
- 11 So please, Mr Awich, you can answer.
- 12 MR AYENA ODONGO: [10:25:53] Much obliged, my Lord.
- 13 THE WITNESS: [10:25:56] Can you put the question again, please.
- 14 MS LYONS: [10:25:59]
- 15 Q. [10:26:00] Certainly. The question is, Mr Awich, can a child soldier be both
- 16 a victim and a perpetrator?
- 17 A. [10:26:08] No.
- 18 Q. [10:26:23] Do you want to explain anything or --
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:26:24] No, he has answered.
- 20 MS LYONS: [10:26:24] That's fine. Okay, fine. Got it.
- 21 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:26:25] I think he has answered, yes.
- 22 MS LYONS: [10:26:28] Okay.
- 23 Q. [10:26:29] Now, moving ahead. We are getting towards the end. Now, based
- on the discussion a few minutes ago, I will rephrase this question.
- 25 An unnamed person made a statement on the -- I don't know what to do. Okay.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 A statement was made by a person on the International Day Against the Use of Child
- 2 Soldiers in February 2018. Let me read you the statement, very short, and --
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:27:05] Well, what are we talking about here?
- 4 Then we can follow.
- 5 MS LYONS: [10:27:09] We're talking about -- I'm just worried about the questions
- 6 now. Okay.
- 7 Q. [10:27:14] On February 12, 2018, Chief Prosecutor Bensouda made a statement
- 8 on the International Day Against the Use of the Child Soldiers. What she stated --
- 9 MR GUMPERT: [10:27:26] Sorry, can we have a reference?
- 10 MS LYONS: [10:27:31] I don't -- it's not in the materials. It's a public document.
- 11 MR GUMPERT: [10:27:34] So it's a new piece of material which you want to use
- 12 without giving notice?
- 13 MS LYONS: [10:27:39] It's not a new piece of material. You can ask anyone, we can
- 14 Google it. If you give me two minutes, I will give you my paper copy.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:27:46] Please, please, please, please. Of course
- 16 it's clear that Mr Gumpert had to say that after the exchange this morning, and I think
- 17 that was perfectly clear.
- We are together in this courtroom since two years now, and I know that you would
- 19 not citate something wrongly. And I think nobody will complain if this is really an
- 20 official speech by a renowned person. Then please pull out a short portion of it and
- 21 put it to the witness and he might comment on it. So I agree that you can do it and
- 22 we don't make a big discussion out of it which loses unnecessary time here in the
- 23 courtroom. Yes.
- 24 MS LYONS: [10:28:42] Thank you, your Honour.
- 25 Q. [10:28:43] The statement that was made was, quote:

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 "A childhood that is free from violence is not a privilege; it is the natural state of

- 2 things. It is a right that must be protected."
- 3 I have two questions, I will ask them together so you can answer, if you wish.
- 4 In the context of your experience, do you have any comments? And secondly, in the
- 5 context of your experience and expertise, who is responsible for the protecting?
- 6 A. [10:29:31] Yes, most of these issues are quite interrelated. I think I have said it
- 7 before, but I will say it much more clearly in reference to the question, is that yes, the
- 8 child has those rights, and I had even commented that there is no derogation to it, it
- 9 must be protected at all times, even when there is war situation.
- 10 Now, the protectors of the child is, one, the entire world, the entire world should
- 11 protect a child.
- 12 Two, the country where the child lives, the government or the state party has an
- 13 obligation to do that.
- 14 Three, in case of armed conflict, even the warring parties who are non-state actors
- 15 have the obligation to ensure that a child is protected. And, as I said, the customary
- persons, they have the obligation to ensure that a child is protected.
- 17 So these rights of the child I say exist, and it must be protected at all times without
- any derogation under whatever circumstances. And the parties who hold the
- 19 obligation are the ones I have listed.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:31:04] Thank you very much.
- 21 And since I have a very quick team, this was on the website of the ICC at some point
- 22 in time. It must have been February 2018. And this also shows now to Defence and
- 23 Prosecution that sometimes we have to be a little bit, the parties especially, indulgent
- 24 with each other. So when we present some new documents which really are known
- or can be looked after quickly, I think we should not be too mean with each other, to

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 word it this way.
- 2 So, Ms Lyons, you can continue, but I understand that you are coming to the end.
- 3 MS LYONS: [10:31:46] Correct, I have one or two more questions, your Honour.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:31:48] Yes, please proceed.
- 5 MS LYONS: [10:31:50] Okay.
- 6 Q. [10:31:50] Now, I want to focus on a notion at the end of your report on page 9.
- 7 And I want to precede my question by saying you can answer it generally, we are not
- 8 talking about specific people, I am interested in the concept.
- 9 So I am leaving out a little bit when I read it. I am leaving out a little bit of the
- 10 reference.
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:32:30] But these are difficult waters here.
- 12 MS LYONS: [10:32:34] Yes, but let me try and I will -- let me try first.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:32:37] So --
- 14 MS LYONS: [10:32:38] And then I will --
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:32:39] If you carefully try, then I think we can
- 16 proceed.
- 17 MS LYONS: [10:32:43] Okay.
- 18 Q. [10:32:46] I have -- I have spent time trying to navigate, let me see how
- 19 successful or not I am. Okay, all right.
- 20 This is the very end of your paper on page 9, ERN 1030, and I am going to --
- 21 MR GUMPERT: [10:33:02] Your Honour, I'm very sorry. I know that your Honour
- is keen to promote a spirit of harmony and good will to all men in the courtroom.
- 23 MS LYONS: [10:33:12] And women.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:33:12] And women.
- 25 MS LYONS: [10:33:13] Women.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 MR GUMPERT: [10:33:15] I use the commonly used Christmas greeting, I apologise

- 2 for its archaic nature, and of course I speak both to men and to women, indeed, to all
- 3 genders. Now I would seek to make the point.
- 4 This is precisely the material which your Honour has, I submit, already ruled on.
- 5 Ms Lyons wants now to read it into the record, to which she has referred so often.
- 6 That is inappropriate. This material is not appropriate either in question or in
- 7 answer form. The witness was asked to do something, which he shouldn't have
- 8 been asked to do, and he shouldn't now have an opportunity to have it put on the
- 9 record in any form.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:33:56] There are different notions to that. In
- principle, I would agree with you. I have, of course, prepared for this testimony.
- 12 And this last paragraph on page 9 was of course one of those which I see and
- 13 the Chamber sees, we have discussed that as a chamber also, see as critical.
- 14 And I would indeed not see it appropriate to put it, so to speak, on the record. But
- even if it is on the record, of course, in the end, it is up to the Chamber what we do
- 16 with it.
- But nevertheless, nevertheless, I said this at the start and I said this today: No
- 18 comment on the appropriateness of these proceedings. No teaching of the Chamber
- 19 of the law by witnesses. These two things are paramount.
- 20 There is one, though, one thing, rehabilitation, but we have talked about that before,
- 21 where you could ask in the abstract something. But nevertheless I would really
- simply also out of the notion of coherence, when I say something I don't want that, as
- 23 I said before, via the back door it is tried to be introduced. Yes.
- 24 MS LYONS: [10:35:09] Your Honour, let me ask the question, because I looked at the
- 25 rulings that you made yesterday, no interpretation, no legal conclusions and no

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 teaching of the judges, you know, the judges, this is not teaching -- sorry. The judges

- 2 are learned judges and professional judges.
- 3 I looked at that and I've dwelt on this. So if I may, if it is out of order, let me know.
- 4 The way I would say, I wanted to quote part of the last sentence.
- 5 Q. Now, the last sentence says in your report, "After more than two decades of
- 6 abuse, Uganda and the international community should have worked towards
- 7 rehabilitating former child soldiers instead of --"
- 8 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:35:58] Point, point.
- 9 MS LYONS: Okay.
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: That's it.
- 11 MS LYONS: [10:36:00] I'm sorry?
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:36:00] That's it, because the rest, the rest is
- 13 exactly what I and what the Chamber has now --
- 14 MS LYONS: [10:36:06] All right, all right.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:36:07] -- several times said. Yes
- 16 MS LYONS: [10:36:11]
- 17 Q. [10:36:11] The question then is, although you have talked about rehabilitation,
- do you have anything additional to say about rehabilitation or how the former child
- 19 soldiers have been dealt with?
- 20 A. [10:36:36] The rehabilitation in Uganda, broadly speaking, as I said earlier, was
- 21 taken in line of the subsequent wars and the subsequent children in each category.
- 22 So my rehabilitation was the first lot and coming out of another war, but the
- 23 rehabilitation under the LRA came in the form of the recovered children being
- 24 handed over to civilian authorities, and the rehabilitation has been ongoing, both
- 25 institutionally by NGOs and at family levels for those who did not go to institutions.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 So I think that it would be good if in an ideal situation all the children who were
- 2 victims in the LRA war were rehabilitated.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:37:54] I think that is an answer.
- 4 MS LYONS: [10:37:57] Thank you, Mr Awich.
- 5 Q. [10:38:01] And now I will proceed to my last question. You have talked in this
- 6 Chamber, you have talked, you have testified before the judges for a day plus. It's
- 7 public. But I want to ask you finally, what is the message based on your life and also
- 8 your achievements to other former child soldiers?
- 9 A. [10:38:36] My achievement?
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:38:38] And if you have -- this is sort of to ask
- 11 you if you want to give a final word on a message that you want to deliver. But of
- 12 course you understand we don't want a long speech there, of course.
- 13 MS LYONS: [10:38:56] Yes.
- 14 THE WITNESS: [10:38:57] Yes, your Honour, I don't want to give a long speech.
- 15 My comment is that, one, it's unfortunate that children ever get involved in armed
- 16 conflict.
- 17 Two, is that children should never be used to fight adult wars. And three, is that the
- 18 world should try not just to look at me, Awich, a child soldier, where I cannot be
- 19 helped, I cannot be pulled out of a conflict situation and the world should wait for me
- 20 when I have been forced into growing there and then I -- it is the time that the world
- 21 can learn on me to prosecute me.
- 22 If it could help pick me out it would have been much better to pick me when I am
- 23 already 18 and above. I wish they could pick -- in my case we were lucky we
- captured state power, but who knew, if we had not, possibly I would have grown

25 there.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 So if I could be saved before, when I am a child, it is much better to the world to learn
- 2 on me after being -- passing that age of childhood.
- 3 Finally, is that my contribution, I have tried to do it in my simple way through the
- 4 committee, as I said, interfaced so much with the Child Soldier International, for
- 5 example. We even tried through the committee to draft commitments from warring
- 6 parties not to, to use children, to non-state actors. At home, I have tried through
- 7 NGOs, through state institutions.
- 8 So in my simple way I think I have tried to help alleviate the situation, children,
- 9 whoever get involved in armed conflict.
- 10 Q. [10:41:34] Thank you, Mr Awich.
- 11 MS LYONS: The direct examination of Mr Awich is now finished, your Honour.
- 12 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [10:41:43] Thank you very much.
- 13 And I think this is a good time to have a break now. I would suggest until a quarter
- past 11, and we meet then.
- 15 Thank you for the moment.
- 16 THE COURT USHER: [10:41:57] All rise.
- 17 (Recess taken at 10.41 a.m.)
- 18 (Upon resuming in open session at 11.22 a.m.)
- 19 THE COURT USHER: [11:22:31] All rise.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:22:51] Ms Hohler rightly assumes that she has
- 21 the floor.
- 22 A short comment, since we always want to know where quotations, everything comes
- 23 from, Mr Gumpert, as I have been informed in the meantime, Luke 2:14.
- 24 Ms Hohler, you have the floor.
- 25 MS HOHLER: [11:23:17] Thank you, your Honours.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 QUESTIONED BY MS HOHLER:

- 2 Q. [11:23:19] Mr Awich, we have met. As you know, I will be asking you a few
- 3 questions on behalf of the Prosecution today.
- 4 Now, Mr Awich, in your testimony, in particular on Tuesday, you spoke quite a lot
- 5 about the mindset of child soldiers and psychological consequences that you've
- 6 observed in former child soldiers. Just so we're clear, you're not a psychologist,
- 7 are you?
- 8 A. [11:23:45] I'm not.
- 9 Q. [11:23:45] And you're not a psychiatrist, correct?
- 10 A. [11:23:49] I'm not.
- 11 Q. [11:23:50] Then, Mr Awich, you were a member of the United Nations children's
- 12 rights committee from 2005 to 2012, as you've told us. And you stood for election
- again in 2012 for another four years, but you were not re-elected; is that right?
- 14 A. [11:24:10] Yes.
- 15 Q. [11:24:11] Now, when you were first elected in 2005, I believe that was in the
- beginning of 2005, if my research is correct, in February 2005; is that right?
- 17 A. [11:24:23] I think December. Normally, is it? I don't remember, but it's
- 18 normally wintertime I remember. I don't know what it is.
- 19 Q. [11:24:30] When you say December, do you mean December --
- 20 THE INTERPRETER: [11:24:31] Your Honour, request from interpretation: Could
- 21 counsel wait a little bit.
- 22 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:24:33] Yes. Yes, indeed --
- 23 MS HOHLER: Yes, apologies.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: -- we have -- we have now a, really an animated
- 25 exchange, which is from some point of view perhaps much more interesting than if

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 we follow one after the other, but the interpreters cannot follow then.
- 2 MS HOHLER: [11:24:50] Understood. And I apologise to the interpreters.
- 3 Q. [11:24:53] When you say December, Mr Awich, do you think it was
- 4 December 2004 then?
- 5 A. [11:25:02] No. It could -- well, these are facts can be found out, but it could
- 6 be -- because the election period was changed. I think that time it was around
- 7 January, February, but the subsequent election was in December. So I don't
- 8 remember exactly, but these are facts can be found out.
- 9 Q. [11:25:23] Yes, it's not that important.
- 10 Mr Awich, what was your job before you were elected to the UN committee.
- 11 A. [11:25:34] By then I was a lawyer with the National Resistance Army -- I mean
- 12 National Resistance Movement, NRM secretariat.
- 13 Q. [11:25:46] And that would mean you were working in Kampala?
- 14 A. [11:25:49] Yes.
- 15 Q. [11:25:49] You told us Tuesday that you were deployed with the UPDF fifth
- 16 division for some time. Now we didn't hear when exactly that was. Can you tell us
- when, what year, what years you were attached to the fifth division?
- 18 A. [11:26:07] I was attached to fifth division in 1992, and that was again the -- yeah,
- 19 that was 1992.
- 20 Q. [11:26:17] And it was just for that year, 1992?
- 21 A. [11:26:20] Yes, for some time of that year.
- 22 Q. [11:26:23] And you told us that you were in charge of children who were
- returning from the LRA at that time, so in 1992 we now know, correct?
- 24 A. [11:26:34] Mm-hmm.
- 25 Q. [11:26:35] And you would talk to children during those 72 hours before they

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 were handed over to -- by the UPDF to the NGOs; is that correct?
- 2 A. [11:26:46] Correct.
- 3 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:26:48] Still, Ms Hohler, a little bit slower. Your
- 4 speaking mode --
- 5 MS HOHLER: [11:26:57] I'm too excited.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:27:00] Your speaking mode -- no, it's a matter of
- 7 temperament and personality, but nevertheless --
- 8 MS HOHLER: It is.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: -- we have to try to abide to these rules.
- 10 MS HOHLER: [11:27:07] Well noted, your Honour.
- 11 Q. [11:27:08] So your testimony about the LRA and experiences of the child soldiers,
- as we've heard, is primarily based on those conversations from 1992; is that correct?
- 13 A. [11:27:18] No. More. More than that.
- 14 Q. [11:27:25] This would be your work with the ANPPCAN and other civil society
- 15 groups; is that correct?
- 16 A. [11:27:33] Mm-hmm.
- 17 Q. [11:27:34] Now going back to those conversations that nonetheless did inform
- 18 your views on the LRA, your testimony, did you keep any records of those
- 19 conversations in 1992?
- 20 A. [11:27:47] Records on conversations in recorded form? I don't.
- Q. [11:27:56] So you don't have any notes, or anything of the like, from that period?
- 22 A. [11:28:00] Written notes, yes.
- 23 Q. [11:28:03] And did you consult those notes before you -- before you wrote your
- 24 report and before you came to testify to the Court?
- 25 A. [11:28:14] No. Because it is really interwoven in my experience, so I don't need

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 to consult the notes in specific terms. In any case, the notes is about the names,
- 2 where you are from, whether you are sick or not. The other conversation is ongoing.
- 3 Q. [11:28:35] So you relied strictly on your memory, would that be a fair estimate?
- 4 A. [11:28:40] For the conversations, yes.
- 5 Q. [11:28:43] Now one more thing in relation to your testimony on Tuesday,
- 6 Mr Awich. After you met and spoke to these children within the 72 hours or less of
- 7 their return from the LRA, you did not then systematically follow up with those same
- 8 children to see how they are doing, how they are adjusting; is that correct?
- 9 A. [11:29:09] No, we would visit. Because there was a loose team of actors which
- 10 would meet very often. So there was -- all the child rights actors would meet, so I
- 11 would visit them.
- 12 Q. [11:29:23] And this would be soon after 1992, when they came out?
- 13 A. [11:29:27] I mean even a day or a week after they were given, we kept in touch
- 14 following.
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:29:33] May I shortly.
- 16 MS HOHLER: [11:29:34] Of course.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:29:36] Mr Awich, in these cases when you
- 18 followed, for how long did you do that? Let's say, did you do it a couple of weeks
- 19 after, a couple of -- you understand my question? Or did you even look after the
- 20 person that you met, for example, in 1992 a couple of years later?
- 21 THE WITNESS: [11:29:55] Yes, we would follow to the receiving institutions, that I
- 22 have said that we formed a loose coalition of child rights actors who would follow
- 23 them, and over time -- but of course at some point you lose contact.
- 24 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:30:14] I understand.
- 25 MS HOHLER: [11:30:16]

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Q. [11:30:17] Now I'm looking at your CV, Mr Awich, and also how you presented
- 2 yourself on Tuesday, and even today I think you mentioned you are first and
- 3 foremost an activist. Would the most accurate description of your work and your
- 4 expertise be that you are a child rights advocate?
- 5 A. [11:30:43] Yes, but just to add that, with special emphasis on children in armed
- 6 conflict.
- 7 Q. [11:30:53] Yes. So you do not claim, Mr Awich, that you are an expert in the
- 8 LRA, do you?
- 9 A. [11:31:02] LRA per se?
- 10 Q. [11:31:04] Correct.
- 11 A. [11:31:05] No. But I know LRA. No. I mean, the word "expert" maybe
- 12 would -- I don't know the scope, but ...
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:31:15] But that is indeed, what would an LRA
- 14 expert be and look like?
- 15 MS HOHLER: [11:31:20] I will refrain from the latter part of the comment.
- 16 Q. [11:31:26] But, Mr Awich, you have never done any scientific research into LRA
- 17 or the like; is that correct?
- 18 A. [11:31:35] Apart from the interface with children, I have not done an academic
- 19 research on LRA, no.
- 20 Q. [11:31:43] Yes. And you were never a member of the LRA, correct?
- 21 A. [11:31:47] No.
- 22 Q. [11:31:49] Now talking about children returning from the LRA, you testified on
- 23 Tuesday -- and for the Court and the parties this is Tuesday's transcript 203, page 96,
- 24 lines 11, 12, and then again 20, 21.
- 25 So I will read two lines from the transcript of Tuesday, your answer. You testified, I

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 quote:
- 2 "... there are not known cases where children escaped on voluntary."
- 3 And also:
- 4 "... the known process of them getting out is by recovery from the military ..."
- 5 Are you aware, Mr Awich, that in fact thousands of children did escape from the
- 6 LRA?
- 7 A. [11:32:52] No.
- 8 MS LYONS: [11:32:52] May I ask just a technical question?
- 9 I have -- I'm holding the edited transcript and I heard -- I'm sorry, I heard you say
- 10 page 96. I don't know which transcript you're referring to because --
- 11 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:33:06] Ninety-seven?
- 12 MS HOHLER: [11:33:07] I apologise. I was referring to the real-time transcript.
- 13 MS LYONS: Okay. Which I don't have. Okay.
- 14 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:33:14] Okay. No, no. But I think that
- 15 we -- even some --
- 16 MS HOHLER: [11:33:15] I'm happy to give my copy to counsel if that's
- 17 (Overlapping speakers)
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:33:21] -- sometimes even the memory of a judge
- is so good I exactly recall the quotation, and I recall that it is correct. But we have
- 20 now established, I think, where it is. And if not, we will do so exactly, and we have
- 21 it on the record of Tuesday.
- 22 So the question is on the table. Please, Mr Awich, the question was -- perhaps you
- can repeat it because we had this, this exchange now.
- 24 MS HOHLER: [11:33:46] I think it was actually already answered.
- 25 THE WITNESS: [11:33:49] Can you say it again.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:33:50] Yes. I think this makes sense here to
- 2 repeat the question, please.
- 3 MS HOHLER: [11:33:54] Yes.
- 4 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:33:55] And not quote, quote again because the
- 5 quotation --
- 6 MS HOHLER: Yes, just the question.
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: -- the witness knows obviously what he has said.
- 8 Simply the question.
- 9 MS HOHLER:
- 10 Q. [11:33:59] My question was, Mr Awich, whether you were aware that in fact
- thousands of children did escape from the LRA.
- 12 A. [11:34:07] I'm not aware. As I said, the known cases of recovery of children,
- 13 children ever getting out of the grip of LRA is in combat situation where LRA get in
- touch with the UPDF and, in the process, children are left actually by LRA.
- 15 So even the one that is said to have escaped is actually, when conflict has occurred, an
- 16 LRA has run away.
- 17 But I'm not aware about a normal bush situation of LRA where children plan when
- the commanders are sleeping and they escape. No, not to my knowledge.
- 19 Q. [11:34:56] Now, on Tuesday, Mr Awich, Ms Lyons discussed with you an article
- 20 by Dr Schauer, and in that article which you have read, it was given to you by the
- 21 Defence before you wrote your report, there are multiple references to a Professor
- 22 Christopher Blattman and his research in northern Uganda. Are you familiar with
- 23 Professor Blattman?
- 24 A. [11:35:25] I haven't met him, but I know I've had -- I have come in touch with his
- works.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Q. [11:35:31] And have you heard, Mr Awich, of Professor Tim Allen of the London
- 2 School of Economics?
- 3 A. [11:35:38] Yes. I --
- 4 Q. [11:35:38] You probably know his work.
- 5 A. [11:35:40] Mm-hmm.
- 6 Q. [11:35:41] Now, you may know he was actually the first witness to be called in
- 7 this trial. And Professor Allen edited a book called "The Lord's Resistance Army" in
- 8 2010, and that book included some comprehensive research into the LRA done by
- 9 Professor Blattman and Dr Jeannie Annan in 2005-2006 while the conflict in northern
- 10 Uganda was still ongoing, and they conducted a representative survey about
- abduction in the LRA. Now that survey was called "Survey of War Affected Youth".
- 12 Are you familiar with that survey?
- 13 A. [11:36:26] No.
- 14 Q. [11:36:28] If you could, Mr Awich, turn to a binder, it would be a red binder
- 15 next to you. Correct. If you could go to tab 5.
- 16 And the same of course for the Court.
- 17 This is a document UGA-OTP-0272-0002 and this chapter, the pages we'll be looking
- 18 at, start with UGA-OTP-0272-0146.
- 19 Now, Mr Awich, I would like you to turn the page to the one that ends in numerical
- 20 0150. It's a table. This is just to give you a little bit of a background, it's an article
- 21 describing that survey.
- 22 I'll give you a few moments to familiarise yourself with that table, but then I would
- 23 like you to turn to the next page, to the second half of the table where the ERN ends
- 24 with the last four digits 0151, and I would ask you to concentrate on the very end of
- 25 the table where it says "Return".

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

1 So this survey in 2005-2006 of a representative -- a representative survey, showed that

- 2 out of 462 abducted males aged 14 to 30 at the time of the survey, 80 per cent, as you
- 3 can see, had escaped the LRA, whereas 15 per cent were released and 5 per cent were
- 4 rescued, which I imagine would correspond to what you call captured.
- 5 Now, having seen this, would you now accept that in fact abductees did escape,
- 6 children abductees did escape the LRA?
- 7 MS LYONS: [11:39:01] Objection, your Honour, to the question before the
- 8 witness -- before you rule.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:39:07] Yes. And why?
- 10 MS LYONS: [11:39:07] I'm objecting because, first of all, the section from Professor, I
- assume Blattman and Professor Annan, was just given to the witness less than
- 12 60 minutes ago by the Prosecution. The witness has already testified that he himself
- did not conduct academic scientific research on this and he presented earlier the basis
- of his knowledge. He is now being asked to very quickly look at this and make a
- 15 comment on someone else's academic work. We're not here to discuss, I don't think,
- 16 the reliability or not of this document.
- 17 I think that Ms Hohler can ask a question on the issue, but it seems to me prejudicial
- 18 to the witness to ask and to make comments on this. Because then I will have to go
- 19 back and find out what is the basis -- I don't know what the basis of all this is. I have
- 20 this article, and I haven't gone through all of the footnotes carefully. I think it's
- 21 unfair and prejudicial. If it's a question about escape, it can be rephrased, but I think
- 22 the form of using this in a situation where we don't have a person who has been
- 23 explicitly presenting expertise based on academic scientific research but in fact based
- on his experience and knowledge, I think it is prejudicial. That's my position.
- 25 That's why I object.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:40:47] Ms Hohler.
- 2 MS HOHLER: [11:40:48] Your Honour, I was not asking the witness to comment on
- 3 the research. I was asking the witness to comment, if you will, that is probably not
- 4 the best word, on what he has told this Court on Tuesday in light of being presented
- 5 with some research to the opposite.
- 6 (Trial Chamber confers)
- 7 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:41:12] I think the objection is overruled. The
- 8 witness may answer.
- 9 Of course, Mr Awich, we are, to put it into perspective, we are aware of the fact that
- 10 you did not conduct this research and you cannot comment on it. And of course also
- 11 this -- when we say we overrule this, it's not a statement to the validity of such a
- 12 research. It's simply that you take this result as it stands here without saying if it's
- 13 right or wrong, if this changes your mind or if you could -- or whatever you would
- like to say on that, and perhaps you have an idea on that. So I think we understand
- each other, so you may answer the question.
- 16 THE WITNESS: [11:41:57] Yes, your Honour. I can answer the question. The
- 17 question is good. You see, when you're in LRA speak and in the local language in
- Luo, if you talk to somebody, and with due respect to the professor, to escape in Luo
- or in Acholi would actually not mean to -- to escape I think in the English context.
- 20 For example, *laor*, that is in Luo, but what if you ask this person, this child, "You
- 21 escaped. How did you escape?" The child will still go back to the story, "You see,
- 22 when the army ambushed us, we were here. And after the ambush when they shot,
- 23 we escaped."
- Now if I was to do a critique of that research after this professor had done it, I would
- 25 point that out to the professor that, "Actually your term, your concept of escape is

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 inadequate because all this escape they're saying is not an initiated willful move by
- 2 the children when LRA is sleeping and they escaped."
- 3 If you go to the root of whatever one clear story of escape, every child has a story of
- 4 how he escaped and all of them are connected to a conflict, to an attack or they were
- 5 attacked and that is how they escaped. So I don't agree with this data.
- 6 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:43:52] See, so there was an informed answer, so
- 7 to speak, and we can -- I think it's now answered. You can move to another point.
- 8 MS HOHLER: [11:43:56] Yes, your Honour.
- 9 Q. [11:43:57] Now, Mr Awich, you also testified that it was impossible or virtually
- impossible to escape from the LRA because of spiritual beliefs, fear, surveillance,
- 11 control. Now you are familiar with Chris Dolan based at Makerere University,
- 12 aren't you?
- 13 A. [11:44:23] I've heard of his work.
- 14 Q. [11:44:24] And you know his book called, "Social Torture: The case of Northern
- 15 Uganda, 1986-2006"? It's this book, I actually have a copy here.
- 16 A. [11:44:35] I haven't read it.
- 17 Q. [11:44:37] You haven't read it, but you cite to this book, Mr Awich, in your
- report on page 1026, in footnote 5, in support of your statement about who were
- 19 controllers and technicians. Maybe we can look at that, that footnote.
- 20 A. [11:45:00] Yeah.
- 21 Q. [11:45:01] Do you remember citing to it?
- 22 A. [11:45:03] Yes.
- 23 Q. [11:45:04] Oh, so you do remember citing to it?
- 24 A. [11:45:06] Yes. I cited it because I'd found it in another reference that I was
- 25 reading, but I did not read the entire book.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Q. [11:45:14] I understand. So on one of the pages that you cite to, page 80,
- 2 Chris Dolan talks about some of his findings. And I would invite the Court and you,
- 3 Mr Awich, if we would turn to tab 5. This document unfortunately does not have an
- 4 ERN stamp yet, but it is tab 6.
- 5 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:45:40] Yes, exactly. It could not be tab 5.
- 6 MS HOHLER: [11:45:43]
- 7 Q. [11:45:44] Sorry. Tab 6. It is a book, as I have said, "Social Torture: The case
- 8 of Northern Uganda" published in 2009 by Chris Dolan and on page 80 of that book,
- 9 there's an excerpt in your binder, it's tab 6, Mr Awich. The print is a little small but
- 10 you will see page 80 in the top left corner.
- Now, again these are the exact pages that you reference in your footnote in your
- 12 report, and I would bring everyone's attention to the third paragraph from the bottom
- up on that page, on page 80, and I will read it. So this part is about control and
- 14 surveillance, and the author writes:
- 15 "Notwithstanding these structures and mechanisms of control, however, the fact that
- 16 93.6 per cent of recorded child abductees managed to escape within two years of
- 17 capture, indicates that internal surveillance was less than successful (or that other
- 18 LRA members were turning a blind eye more often than the choice of testimonies
- 19 published by child agencies would have us believe)."
- 20 Now, having seen that, would you accept that escape from the LRA was not
- 21 impossible?
- 22 A. [11:47:44] It was not possible and I still get back to the definition of escape.
- 23 Q. [11:47:58] Very well, Mr Awich.
- We'll move to the next point. I've touched upon this briefly, so in preparation for
- 25 your report, the Defence provided you with several materials; is that correct?

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 Ms Schauer's article that you discussed with Ms Lyons on Tuesday was one of them.
- 2 A. [11:48:24] Which article?
- 3 Q. [11:48:27] So my -- I'll go back. My first question is, the Defence provided you
- 4 with a number of materials to assist you in writing your report; is that correct?
- 5 A. [11:48:40] Most material I got it myself.
- 6 Q. [11:48:44] That does not answer my question. The Defence provided you with
- 7 some of the material; is that correct?
- 8 A. [11:48:53] Which material? I don't remember any material.
- 9 Q. [11:48:56] Would you mind turning to tab 3 of this binder.
- 10 A. [11:48:58] Mm-hmm.
- 11 Q. [11:48:59] And you will see it's an email, but there in the middle is a table listing
- 12 a number of documents?
- 13 MS LYONS: [11:49:22] Your Honour, I think maybe the question can be asked a
- 14 little bit --
- 15 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:49:29] No, no. We are now in the process of
- 16 establishing that, so --
- 17 MS LYONS: [11:49:31] All right.
- 18 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:49:31] -- so I think if the witness looks at this
- document, tab 3, and it's not a huge document and he would grasp it quickly, what it
- 20 contains, you can continue. And it seems -- and why not read it for the public here,
- 21 and it's an email from 12 February 2019, 18:34, and it reads:
- 22 "In accordance with paragraph 12 of decision" -- it follows the number of the
- 23 decision -- "the Defence provides the Prosecution and LRVs with the following items:"
- 24 That does, of course, not say that the witness has gotten the items.
- 25 MS HOHLER: [11:50:22] No, and that is why I'm asking the question.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:50:24] Okay, then please proceed.
- 2 MS HOHLER: [11:50:25] And just to give some context, if we read this out, your
- 3 Honours, for the public, this was in response to a decision for disclosure of material
- 4 that was provided by the Defence to the witness which was litigated.
- 5 Q. [11:50:39] So, Mr Witness, if you look at that list and those documents listed
- 6 there, are these the documents that the Defence provided you with, as they say in this
- 7 email?
- 8 A. [11:51:12] I don't remember.
- 9 Q. [11:51:13] You don't remember, Mr Awich?
- 10 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:51:17] Let me perhaps try it.
- 11 The document does not say that the witness has got these documents. The question,
- 12 the question would be: Have you gotten anything by the Defence, be it articles, be it
- any other documents before you came to this courtroom?
- 14 So perhaps we can near ourselves, this question by step by step.
- 15 MS HOHLER: [11:51:50] And perhaps if I may, your Honour, I can --
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:51:53] Which would not be a problem at all, it
- 17 would be absolutely normal --
- 18 MS HOHLER: [11:51:56] Correct.
- 19 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:51:56] -- for an expert to get any document.
- 20 But simply, I don't know where you're heading at, but we simply want to inquire,
- 21 Mr Awich, if you have had any documents provided with by the Defence?
- 22 THE WITNESS: [11:52:07] The documents that I had were actually, if I remember
- 23 well, some of them which are here. Like terms of reference, like I think the charges,
- 24 like mainly these are the documents I -- I remember. But I can't recall all these. But
- 25 there are documents that I got, including TOR and other things.

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:52:35] Please proceed, Ms Hohler.
- 2 MS HOHLER: [11:52:39]
- 3 Q. [11:52:39] And those documents that you were provided with, did you -- did
- 4 you read them, did you study them before writing your report?
- 5 A. [11:52:51] I looked at the ones that I thought where I saw mandatory, but in the
- 6 writing of the document itself, I used really the research material that I cited therein.
- 7 Q. [11:53:11] Thank you, Mr Awich. And my final question would be, you have
- 8 never met Dominic Ongwen, correct?
- 9 A. [11:53:18] No.
- 10 Q. [11:53:20] Thank you.
- 11 MS HOHLER: That will conclude the examination for the Prosecution, your
- 12 Honours.
- 13 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:53:24] Thank you very much. And I
- 14 understand that Ms Massidda wants to question the witness.
- 15 Please --
- 16 MS MASSIDDA: [11:53:32] Thank you, your Honour.
- 17 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:53:32] -- Ms Massidda, you have the floor.
- 18 MS MASSIDDA: [11:53:33] Thank you, Mr President. I don't have any question
- 19 after the questioning by the Prosecution. Thank you very much.
- 20 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:53:39] Okay. Then the next question would be
- 21 Mr Manoba. Any questions?
- 22 MR MANOBA: [11:53:43] No questions, your Honours.
- 23 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:53:45] No questions.
- 24 I don't assume that anything has arisen?
- 25 MS LYONS: [11:53:50] For the record, the Defence will conduct no redirect,

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 your Honour.
- 2 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:53:55] Yes, okay. It's always interesting when
- 3 it is said in this courtroom "for the record." You know, if nothing is on the record, you
- 4 could also conclude something from it; for example, that you did not have any
- 5 questions.
- 6 MS LYONS: [11:54:10] (Microphone not activated) ... common law straitjacket I
- 7 come from. I'm used to doing this. The common law straitjacket has trained me to
- 8 do this.
- 9 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:54:20] It was not a reproach at all; it was just a
- 10 comment. Sometimes I tend to do things like that.
- 11 So now, for the record, this concludes your testimony, Mr Awich. On behalf of the
- 12 Chamber, I would like to thank you that you have been coming to this courtroom to
- 13 this foreign land and have testified here for two, nearly two full days and we wish
- 14 you a safe trip back home.
- 15 (The witness is excused)
- 16 PRESIDING JUDGE SCHMITT: [11:54:49] This concludes also the hearing for today,
- and we resume, as we have said, because we can only have the next witness on
- 18 Monday, Monday, 9.30. Witness 140 -- 141, 141. And also for the record, if this
- 19 would be wrong it wouldn't matter because, okay -- 131. Getting complicated, even
- 20 more complicated. 131.
- 21 So until Monday, 9.30.
- 22 THE COURT USHER: [11:55:30] All rise.
- 23 (The hearing ends in open session at 11.55 a.m.)
- 24 CORRECTIONS REPORT
- 25 The corrections (not related to interpretation) marked with an asterisk (*) in the

WITNESS: UGA-D26-P-0133

- 1 transcript are implemented as follows:
- 2 Page 10 line 13:
- 3 "Dr Oularo Otuno"
- 4 is corrected to page 10 line 13:
- 5 "Dr Olara Otunnu"
- 6 Page 10 line 17:
- 7 "Dr Otuno"
- 8 is corrected to page 10 line 17:
- 9 "Dr Otunnu"
- 10 Page 13 line 7:
- 11 "Oularo Otuno"
- is corrected to page 13 line 7:
- 13 "Olara Otunnu"