

Annex 7

[REDACTED]

From: Trial Chamber V Communications
Sent: 30 October 2020 10:10
To: [REDACTED]
Cc: D30 Ngaissona Defence Team; D29 Yekatom Defence Team; OTP CAR IIB Case Management; OTP CAR IIB Managers; V44LRVTeam-OPCV; V44 LRV Team; V45 LRV Team; Trial Chamber V Communications; [REDACTED]
Subject: Further Observations on ICC-01/14-01/18-657-Conf

Dear colleagues from the Registry,

The Single Judge recalls the Chamber's previous order to the Registry to provide its views on the Defence's Request ICC-01/14-01/18-657-Conf, 'in particular with regard to the technical aspects of the request' (email from the Chamber, 18 September 2020, at 10:56).

Noting the Defence's submission that 'the ICC office telephone is currently the only viable telephone line available to the Defence which can accommodate secure teleconferencing between Mr Ngaissona and Defence team members' and that '[b]ecause of travel restrictions and other limitations relating to the Covid-19 pandemic, certain privileged team members are unable to physically travel to the Court in order to set up such calls from the ICC headquarters' (ICC-01/14-01/18-657-Conf, para. 13), the Single Judge considers that further observations from the Registry on the technical aspects of conference calls are required.

The Single Judge therefore directs the Registry to provide further observations on the following items, by no later than 2 November 2020:

- (i) how conference calls pursuant to memorandum D2/2020/049/HT/mc (Exceptional Authorization for Defence Team Conference Calls) are set up, in particular how defence team members join the call;
- (ii) whether it is possible to initiate privileged phone calls from outside the ICC office at headquarters, i.e. via mobile phones or non-ICC landlines;
- (iii) whether it is necessary that conference calls are initiated from an ICC telephone line at headquarters in order to ensure a secure teleconference.

Kind regards, TC V