Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No: ICC-01/05-01/13

Date: 14 June 2016

#### TRIAL CHAMBER VII

Before: Judge Bertram Schmitt, Presiding Judge

Judge Marc Perrin de Brichambaut

Judge Raul Pangalangan

# SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC

### IN THE CASE OF

THE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO, AIMÉ KILOLO MUSAMBA, JEAN-JACQUES MANGENDA KABONGO, FIDÈLE BABALA WANDU AND NARCISSE ARIDO

Public Redacted Version of "Response to 'Prosecution's Motion to Exclude the Evidence of Witnesses D20-0002 and D21-0009, or, in the alternative, to Restrict the Scope of Witness D20-0002's Evidence' (ICC-01/05-01/13-1633)" (ICC-01/05-01/13-1648-Conf).

Source: Defence for Mr. Aimé Kilolo Musamba

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Ms Fatou Bensouda Mr James Stewart

Mr Kweku Vanderpuye

Counsel for Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo

Ms Melinda Taylor

Counsel for Aimé Kilolo Musamba

Mr Paul Djunga Mudimbi

Counsel for Jean-Jacques Kabongo

Mangenda

Mr Christopher Gosnell

Counsel for Fidèle Babala Wandu

Mr Jean-Pierre Kilenda Kakengi Basila

**Counsel for Narcisse Arido** Mr Charles Achaleke Taku

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants

(Participation/Reparation)

The Office of Public Counsel for Victims The Office of Public Counsel for the

**Defence** 

States' Representatives Amicus Curiae

**REGISTRY** 

Registrar Defence Support Section

Mr Herman von Hebel

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations Other

Section

#### I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. On 9 February 2016, as directed by the Trial Chamber, the Defence for Mr Kilolo filed a summary of proposed witness D21-0009. <sup>1</sup>
- 2. On 17 February 2016 the Prosecution filed 'Prosecution's Motion to Exclude the Evidence of Witnesses D20-0002 and D21-0009, or, in the alternative, to Restrict the Scope of Witness D20-0002's Evidence' ['the Motion'].<sup>2</sup>
- 3. The Defence for Mr Kilolo hereby responds to the Prosecution's submissions regarding D-21-0009.<sup>3</sup>
- 4. In short, the Defence respectfully submits that the Prosecution's Motion with regards D21-0009 should be dismissed.<sup>4</sup>

## II. SUBMISSIONS

5. At the outset it is noted that the Prosecution does not explain the basis upon which it disputes the relevance of D21-0009's testimony. The Prosecution's complaint, to the extent that it can be deciphered, appears to be limited to whether D21-0009 is designated as a witness of fact or as an expert witness. Whether he is described as an expert or a factual witness, his evidence should be admitted.

.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICC-01/05-01/13-1609-Conf-Exp.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICC-01/05-01/13-1633.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> The Defence for Mr Kilolo respectfully adopt the submissions of Mr Bemba regarding D20-0002.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> This document is confidential pursuant Regulation 23 (2) *bis* of the Regulations of the Court as it makes reference to information not accessible to the public.

6. As noted by the Prosecution itself, D21-0009 [REDACTED].<sup>5</sup> His experience and expertise meant he was ideally placed to comment on and present his findings within that Report.

7. Moreover, it is incorrect for the Prosecution to say that the Defence has sought to circumvent the Registry's well-established process of screening experts.<sup>6</sup> The Defence is currently taking steps to facilitate D21-0009's admission on to the Registry's List of Experts.

8. In any event, D21-0009 gives contextual, factual evidence as to the operation of the VWU during the relevant period. He will also explain the various working methods of the VWU during the relevant period. [REDACTED].

## 9. [REDACTED].

10. Either way, as the Prosecution does not explain how and why it disputes the relevance of his testimony it is inappropriate for them to seek to obstruct Defence efforts to present him to the Trial Chamber.

11. Contrary to the Prosecution's observations, D21-0009 is being called to give evidence about what he 'personally saw, heard or experienced' [EXPURGE] and of the working methods of the VWU during the relevant period. Notwithstanding his expertise, his evidence is factual. But, to the extent that he proffers an opinion, it is clearly based on his unique background and expertise and he should therefore be permitted to do so.

<sup>6</sup> ICC-01/05-01/13-1633, para. 7.

No. ICC-01/05-01/13 4/5 14 June 2016

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> REDACTED.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> ICC-01/05-01/13-1633, para. 3.

ICC-01/05-01/13-1648-Red 15-06-2016 5/5 EC T

III. RELIEF REQUESTED

12. For the reasons set out above, it is respectfully submitted that the

Prosecution's Motion should be dismissed and the Defence be permitted to

call D21-0009 as a factual witness or in the alternative as an expert witness.

13. In the alternative, the Defence respectfully requests that it be permitted to

present D21-0009's evidence pursuant to Rule 68(2)(b) and for the Trial

Chamber to rule on its admissibility thereafter.

Respectfully submitted,

Maître Paul Djunga Mudimbi Lead Counsel of Mr. Aimé Kilolo Musamba

Dated this 14 June 2016, At The Hague, The Netherlands.

No. ICC-01/05-01/13 5/5 14 June 2016