Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/05-01/08

Date: 13 May 2013

TRIAL CHAMBER III

Before: Judge Sylvia Steiner, Presiding Judge

Judge Joyce Aluoch Judge Kuniko Ozaki

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. JEAN-PIERRE BEMBA GOMBO

Public

Public redacted version of "Decision ordering the VWU to provide further information in relation to the statement of Witness D04-64" of 26 October 2012

the

of

Decision to be notified, in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court, to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for the Defence Ms Fatou Bensouda, Prosecutor Mr Aimé Kilolo Musamba

Mr Jean-Jacques Badibanga, Trial Lawyer Mr Peter Haynes

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Ms Marie Edith Douzima-Lawson Mr Assingambi Zarambaud

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented **Applicants** for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

Victims

Ms Paolina Massidda

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Representatives

Defence

Legal

Applicants

Amicus Curiae States Representatives

REGISTRY

Registrar **Defence Support Section**

Mr Herman von Hebel

Victims and Witnesses Unit **Detention Section**

Mr Patrick Craig

Other Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

Trial Chamber III ("Chamber") of the International Criminal Court, in the case of *The Prosecutor v. Jean-Pierre Bemba Gombo*, issues the following Decision requesting the VWU to provide further information in relation to the statement of Witness D04-64.

1. On 18 October 2012, the Chamber received a protection report in relation to Witness D04-PPPP-0064 ("Witness D04-64") from the Victims and Witnesses Unit ("VWU"). The report provides in relevant part:

[REDACTED]

- 2. During the hearing of 22 October 2012, when asked by the Chamber how many times Mr Bemba came to Bangui during the time of the fighting between October 2002 and March 2003, Witness D04-64 replied that he only saw Mr Bemba once.²
- 3. The apparent inconsistency between the information provided in the protection report and the witness's response to the Chamber's questions was explored by the Chamber during the hearing of 23 October 2012:³

[REDACTED]

- 4. In light of the above and pursuant to Article 64(6)(f) of the Statute, the Chamber hereby requests the VWU to provide clarification as to:
 - (i). Whether the information provided in the VWU protection report to the effect that the witness informed the VWU that [REDACTED] is accurate;

No. ICC-01/05-01/08 3/5 13 May 2013

¹ Email from the VWU's Associate Protection Officer to the Chamber of 18 October 2012 at 19.54.

² Transcript of hearing of 22 October 2012, ICC-01/05-01/08-T-259-CONF-ENG CT, page 25, lines 17 to 21.

³ Transcript of hearing of 23 October 2012, ICC-01/05-01/08-T-260-CONF-ENG ET, page 38, line 11 to page 39, line 4 and page 39, lines 19 to 22.

- (ii). Whether the interview was video or audio recorded; and
- (iii). The language of the interview and the identities of the individuals who attended the interview.

This information should be submitted via a confidential report, available to the parties and participants, to be filed by 16.00 on 31 October 2012.

Done in both English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Sylvia Steiner

Judge Joyce Aluoch

Judge Kuniko Ozaki

Dated this 13 May 2013

At The Hague, The Netherlands