Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/09-01/11

Date: 23 August 2011

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before: Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova, Single Judge

Judge Hans-Peter Kaul Judge Cuno Tarfusser

SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF KENYA

IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR V. WILLIAM SAMOEI RUTO, HENRY KIPRONO KOSGEY AND JOSHUA ARAP SANG

Public Document

Prosecution's Request that the "Defence Request for Leave to Appeal the 'Urgent Decision on the 'Urgent Defence Application for Postponement of the Confirmation of Hearing and Extension of Time to Disclose and List Evidence'" be Dismissed as Filed Out of Time

23 August 2011

Source: The Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the

Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor Counsel for William Ruto

Joseph Kipchuhmba Kigen-Katwa, David Hooper QC, Kioko Kilukumi

Musau and Kithure Kindiki

Counsel for Hnery Kosgey

George Odinga Oraro, Julius Kemboy

and Allan Kosgey

Counsel for Joshua Sang

Joseph Kipchumba Kigen-Katwa, Joel Kimutai Bosek and Philemon K. B. Koech

Legal Representatives of Victims

Sureta Chana

Legal Representatives of Applicants

Unrepresented Victims Unrepresented Applicants for

Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

Victims

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

States Representatives Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar Defence Support Section

Silvana Arbia

Victims and Witnesses Unit Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations Other

Section

- 1. On 12 August 2011, the Single Judge issued its "Urgent Decision on the 'Urgent Defence Application for Postponement of the Confirmation of Hearing and Extension of Time to Disclose and List Evidence" ("Decision"). An Application for leave to appeal that decision was due to be filed on 22 August.
- 2. On 22 August 2011, after hours, the defence for Mr. William Samoei Ruto and Mr Joshua Arap Sang filed an application for leave to appeal the Decision.² The Reception date and hour as stated in the notification e-mail reads "22/08/2011 16:24".³ It was notified on 23 August.
- 3. The Prosecution notes that under Regulation 33(2), documents *shall* be filed between 9am and 4pm The Hague time. Regulation 33(3) provides that documents received or filed after the prescribed filing time will be notified on the next working day, unless otherwise ordered by the Chamber.
- 4. The Application was filed 24 minutes after expiry of the time-limit. It was thus filed out of time, and was accordingly so treated by CMS. The Defence did not seek a variation of time-limit, nor did the Chamber authorize the Defence to file its application outside the prescribed filing time (including after-hours). The Prosecution further notes that Chambers of this Court have adopted a very restrictive position pertaining to the possibility of varying the statutory time-limit applicable to applications for leave to appeal.⁴
- 5. The Prosecution accordingly requests that the Application be dismissed in limine as it was filed out of the applicable time-limit. Should the Pre-Trial Chamber

_

¹ ICC-01/09-01/11-260 ("Decision")

² ICC-01/09-01/11-280 ("Application for Leave to Appeal")

³ Court Management-Court Records E-mail of 23 August 2011, reception time 10:53

⁴ Rule 155 (1) establishes that a written application seeking leave to appeal must be filed within five days of notification; to date, Chambers of this Court have held Regulation 35's allowance of variation of time limits, which is applicable to most filings, specifically does not apply to applications for leave to appeal, since the time limit for seeking leave to appeal is jurisdictional and prescribed in the Statute (see, inter alia, ICC-01/04-01/06-1125, para. 19).

consider that the Application was timely filed, the Prosecution will respond to the merits by the original due date or within a time-limit established by the Chamber.

Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor

Dated this 23rd day of August 2011 At The Hague, The Netherlands