Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English No.: ICC-01/09-01/11
Date: 15 July 2011

## PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before: Judge Ekaterina Trendafilova, Presiding Judge

Judge Hans-Peter Kaul Judge Cuno Tarfusser

## SITUATION IN THE REPUBLIC OF KENYA

## IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR V. WILLIAM SAMOEI RUTO, HENRY KIPRONO KOSGEY AND JOSHUA ARAP SANG

Confidential ex parte, Prosecution Only

Prosecution's response to the Single Judge's order to provide a summary of potentially exculpatory materials

**Source:** Office of the Prosecutor

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the *Regulations of the*Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

**Counsel for the Defence** 

Luis Moreno-Ocampo, Prosecutor Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor

**Legal Representatives of Victims** 

**Legal Representatives of Applicants** 

**Unrepresented Victims** 

Unrepresented Applicants for Participation/Reparation

The Office of Public Counsel for

**Victims** 

The Office of Public Counsel for the

**Defence** 

**States Representatives** 

**Amicus Curiae** 

**REGISTRY** 

Registrar

**Defence Support Section** 

Ms. Silvana Arbia **Deputy Registrar** Mr. Didier Preira

Victims and Witnesses Unit

**Detention Section** 

**Victims Participation and Reparations** 

Section

Other

- 1. On 28 June 2011, the Prosecution submitted the "Prosecutor's First Application Pursuant to Rule 81(2) and 81(4) for Redactions to Potentially Exculpatory Evidence". This application included a request to redact the final 11 pages from KEN-OTP-0014-0502 (0513 until 0523), on the grounds that:
  - These 11 pages pertain to a person who is not an ICC witness;
  - These 11 pages are not relevant to the case; and
  - These 11 pages do not contain any potentially exonerating material.<sup>2</sup>
- 2. On 14 July 2011, the Single Judge authorized the non-disclosure of these 11 pages, but also ordered the Prosecution to provide a meaningful summary of the documentation which reveals all potentially exculpatory facts.<sup>3</sup>
- 3. The Prosecution can provide such a summary, but, as the Prosecution is of the opinion that these 11 pages do not contain information which is relevant to the case or which is of a potentially exonerating nature, the Prosecution seeks further guidance from the Single Judge about the information which should be contained in such a summary.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ICC-01/09-01/11-150-Conf-Exp and related annexes.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> ICC-01/09-01/11-150-Conf-Exp-AnxC1, p. 2.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> ICC-01/09-01/11-195-Conf, paras. 13-15 and p. 8.

4. In the Prosecution's view, the Defence would suffer no prejudice from the nondisclosure of these 11 pages even if a summary is not produced.

Luis Moreno-Ocampo

Prosecutor

Dated this 15th day of July 2011

At The Hague, The Netherlands