

**Cour
Pénale
Internationale**

**International
Criminal
Court**

No.: ICC-02/04-01/05

Date: 22 September
2005

Original: English

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before: Judge Tuiloma Neroni Slade, Presiding Judge
Judge Mauro Politi
Judge Fatoumata Dembele Diarra

Registrar: Mr Bruno Cathala

SITUATION IN UGANDA

PUBLIC REDACTED VERSION

**REGISTRAR'S RESPONSE TO THE ORDER FOR ADDITIONAL
INFORMATION RELATING TO THE PROSECUTOR'S APPLICATION FOR
UNSEALING OF WARRANTS OF ARREST ISSUED ON 8 JULY 2005, AND
OTHER RELATED RELIEF**

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo, Prosecutor
Mrs Fatou Bensouda, Deputy Prosecutor
Ms Christine Chung, Senior Trial Lawyer
Mr Eric MacDonald, Trial Lawyer

In response to the Order of the Pre-Trial Chamber II, dated 21 September 2005, the VWU respectfully submits its answers to the questions posed by the Pre-Trial Chamber.

I. The impact on the overall plan for protective measures

1. The unsealing of the Warrants of Arrest would have no significant impact on the overall plan for protective measures. The VWU believes that the unsealing of other related documents in unredacted form, such as the Prosecutor's Applications for Warrants of Arrest, would affect the safety of the individuals identified by those documents. If the related documents were to be unsealed in unredacted form, this would require sufficient time for the implementation of appropriate preventative protective measures.

2. The systems for protection [REDACTED] are already in place. These systems have been tested, are considered to be functioning properly and will be able to address protection issues arising from the possible unsealing of the Warrants of Arrest.

II. The impact on the overall safety of the population at risk from LRA attacks in Uganda

3. [REDACTED]

4. Furthermore, [REDACTED]

However, in the absence of any insight into the intentions of the LRA leadership, it is impossible to evaluate accurately the impact of the unsealing of the Warrants of Arrest on the overall safety of the population.

III. The impact on the ability of the Chamber to take measures for the purpose of forfeiture pursuant to article 57, paragraph 3(e) of the Statute

6. The VWU respectfully submit that the purpose of article 57, paragraph 3 (e) of the Statute, namely “ ... to take protective measures for the purpose of forfeiture, in particular for the ultimate benefit of victims” falls outside the scope of its mandate as defined in article 43, paragraph 6 of the Rome Statute and rules 16 to 19 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence.



Bruno Cathala
Registrar

Dated this 22th day of September 2005

At The Hague

The Netherlands