Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No: ICC-01/04-01/06

Date: 1 September 2006

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER I

Before:

Judge Sylvia Steiner, Single Judge

Registrar:

Mr Bruno Cathala

SITUATION IN THE DEMOCRATIC REPUBLIC OF THE CONGO IN THE CASE OF THE PROSECUTOR v. THOMAS LUBANGA DYILO

Public Redacted Version

Decision concerning the Prosecution's Requests for Redactions

The Office of the Prosecutor

Mr Luis Moreno Ocampo Ms Fatou Bensouda

Mr Ekkehard Withopf

Counsel for the Defence

Mr Jean Flamme

Ms Véronique Pandanzyla

Legal Representatives of Victims a/0001/06

to a/0003/06

Mr Luc Walleyn Mr Franck Mulenda Office of Public Counsel for the Defence

Ms Melinda Taylor

ICC-01/04-01/06-378 01-09-2006 2/7 UM PT

I, Judge Sylvia Steiner, judge at the International Criminal Court ("the Court");

NOTING the "Prosecution's Application pursuant to Rule 81 (2) and 81(4)" ("the

Prosecution First Request"),1 filed by the Prosecution on 21 August 2006, in which it

requested the authorisation of the Chamber for redactions in REDACTED witness

statements on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing;

NOTING the "Prosecution's Application pursuant to Rules 81 (2) and 81 (4)" ("the

Prosecution Second Request"),2 filed by the Prosecution on 23 August 2006, in which

it requested the autorisation of the Chamber for redactions in REDACTED witness

statements on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing;

NOTING the "Decision on the Prosecution practice to provide to the Defence

redacted versions of evidence and materials without authorisation by the Chamber",

issued by the single judge on 25 August 2006, in which inter alia the Prosecution (i)

was ordered "to file ex parte only available to the Prosecution by 29 August 2006 the

originals of the forty-one redacted documents which the Prosecution has already

disclosed to the Defence, redactions not previously authorised by the Chamber"; and

(ii) was given "until 29 August 2006 at 16.00 hours to file applications for

authorisation for redactions in evidence other than witness statements included in

the Prosecution Charging Document and List of Evidence";

NOTING the "Prosecution's Request for Authorisation of Redactions in Documents"

("the Prosecution Third Request"),3 filed by the Prosecution on 28 August 2006, in

which it requested the authorisation of the Chamber for redactions in REDACTED

¹ ICC-01/04-01/06-341-Conf. ² ICC-01/04-01/06-347-Conf.

ICC-01/04-01/06-357-Conf

No: ICC-01/04-01/06

1 September 2006

2/7

documents on which the Prosecution intends to rely at the confirmation hearing or

otherwise subject to inspection pursuant to rule 77 of the Rules of the Procedure and

Evidence ("the Rules");

NOTING the "Prosecution's Application pursuant to Rules 81 (2) and (4)" ("the

Prosecution Fourth Request"),⁴ filed by the Prosecution on 28 August 2006, in which

it requested the authorisation of the Chamber for redactions in certain witness

statements and transcripts of interviews on which the Prosecution intends to rely at

the confirmation hearing;

NOTING the "Prosecution's Request for Authorization of Redactions in Documents

Previously Disclosed to the Defence" ("the Prosecution Fifth Request"),5 filed by the

Prosecution on 29 August 2006, in which it requested the authorisation of the

Chamber for redactions in forty-one documents previously disclosed to the Defence

in redacted form without the prior authorisation of the Chamber;

NOTING the "Prosecution Application pursuant to Rules 81 (2) and 81 (4)" ("the

Prosecution Sixth Request"),6 filed by the Prosecution on 30 August 2006, and the

corrigendum to Annexes 1 to 5 of the Prosecution Sixth Request filed by the

Prosecution on 31 August 2006, whereby the Prosecution requests authorization for

redactions to the transcript of interviews of certain witnesses for which the

Prosecution had already requested the non-disclosure of their identity;

NOTING the "Decision on the Agenda of the Hearing of 1 September 2006" ("the

Decision on the Agenda"),7 issued by the single judge on 30 August 2006, and the

3/7

⁴ ICC-01/04-01/06-358-Conf.

⁵ ICC-01/04-01/06-363-Conf-Exp.

⁶ ICC-0104-01/06-367-Conf-Exp.

⁷ ICC-01/04-01/06-368-Conf-Exp.

No: ICC-01/04-01/06

1 September 2006

"Decision on the Supplementary Agenda of the Hearing of 1 September 2006" ("the

Decision on the Supplementary Agenda");8

NOTING the ex parte hearing of 1 September 2006, in which the single judge stressed

the need for a thorough revision of the documents, the witness statements, and the

transcripts of witness interviews contained in the various Prosecution's requests for

authorisation for redactions in order to ensure consistency and to adequately

guarantee the protection of the relevant witnesses;

NOTING that at the *ex parte* hearing of 1 September 2006 the single judge decided:

(i) that the Prosecution shall re-file by 4 September 2006:

a. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution's Third Request with

proposed redactions as discussed in the hearing of 1 September

2006, and after the relevant documents have been thoroughly

revised in light of the concerns raised by the single judge at the

hearing;

b. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution First Request with

proposed redactions as discussed in the hearing of 1 September

2006, and after the relevant witness statements have been

thoroughly revised in light of the concerns raised by the single

judge at the hearing;

c. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution Second Request with

proposed redactions as discussed in the hearing of 1 September

2006, and after the relevant witness statements have been

thoroughly revised in light of the concerns raised by the single

judge at the hearing;

⁸ ICC-01/04-01/06-374-Conf-Exp.

No: ICC-01/04-01/06

1 September 2006

- d. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution Fourth Request with proposed redactions as discussed in the hearing of 1 September 2006, and after such annexes have been thoroughly revised in light of the concerns raised by the single judge at the hearing;
- (ii) that the Prosecution shall present as soon as practicable and no later than 11 September 2006 its observations on the questions posed in the Decision on the Supplementary Agenda in relation to the 29 annexes to the Prosecution Fifth Request;
- (iii) that the Prosecution shall re-file by 11 September 2006:
 - a. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution Fourth Request with proposed redactions (i) in light of the concerns highlighted by the single judge in the Decision on the Agenda and in the Decision on the Supplementary Agenda, and (ii) after such annexes have been thoroughly revised in light of the kind of concerns raised by the single judge at the hearing of 1 September 2006;
 - b. annexes REDACTED to the Prosecution Fourth Request with proposed redactions (i) in light of the concerns highlighted by the single judge in the present decision, and (ii) after such annexes have been thoroughly revised in light of the kind of concerns raised by the single judge at hearing of 1 September 2006;
 - c. annex REDACTED to the Prosecution Sixth Request with proposed redactions (i) in light of the concerns highlighted by the single judge in the Decision on the Supplementary Agenda, and (ii) after such annexes have been thoroughly revised in light of the kind of concerns raised by the single judge at the hearing of 1 September 2006;
 - d. the rest of the annexes to the Prosecution Sixth Request with proposed redactions after such annexes have been thoroughly

revised in light of the kind of concerns raised by the single judge at

the hearing of 1 September 2006;

(iv) that the hearing of 4 September 2006 shall be postponed, and that a new date shall be fixed after the Prosecution has refiled the documents, witness statements and transcripts of witness interviews referred to in

(i), (ii) and (iii) above;

NOTING articles 57 (3) (c), 61 (3) (b), 67 (2) and 68 (1) of the Rome Statute ("the Statute") and rules 76 to 83 of the Rules of Procedure and Evidence ("the Rules");

CONSIDERING that highlighting the concerns of the single judge in relation to documents, witness statements and transcripts of witness interviews for which the Prosecution requests authorisation for redactions would expedite the ruling on the various Prosecution requests;

FOR THESE REASONS

DECIDE that in addition to the concerns pointed out in the Decision on the Agenda and in the Decision on the Supplementary Agenda, the Prosecution, when revising annexes REDACTED to the Fourth Prosecution Request, should pay particular attention to the following paragraphs:

6/7

No: ICC-01/04-01/06

REDACTED

Done in English and French, the English version being authoritative.

Judge Sylvia Steiner Single Judge

Dated this Friday 1 September 2006

At The Hague

The Netherlands