Cour Pénale Internationale



International Criminal Court

Original: English

No.: ICC-01/14-01/22

Date: 7 April 2022

PRE-TRIAL CHAMBER II

Before: Judge Rosario Salvatore Aitala, Presiding

Judge Antoine Kesia-Mbe Mindua

Judge Tomoko Akane

SITUATION IN THE CENTRAL AFRICAN REPUBLIC II

THE PROSECUTOR v. MAXIME JEOFFROY ELI MOKOM GAWAKA

Confidential

Ex parte available only to the Prosecution, Registry, Duty Counsel and Mr Mokom

Request for Reconsideration of Order ICC-01/14-01/22-26-Conf-Exp

Source: Gregory Townsend, Duty Counsel for Mr. Mokom

Document to be notified in accordance with regulation 31 of the Regulations of the Court to:

The Office of the Prosecutor

Karim A. A. Khan Mame Mandiaye Niang

Kweku Vanderpuye

Counsel for the Defence

Legal Representatives of the Victims

Legal Representatives of the Applicants

Unrepresented Victims

Unrepresented Applicants (Participation/Reparation)

The Office of Public Counsel for

Victims

The Office of Public Counsel for the

Defence

States' Representatives

Amicus Curiae

REGISTRY

Registrar

Mr Peter Lewis

Counsel Support Section

Mr Pieter Vanaverbeke

Victims and Witnesses Unit

Detention Section

Victims Participation and Reparations

Section

Other

Mr Gregory Townsend,

Duty Counsel for Mr. Mokom

I. INTRODUCTION

- 1. On 1 April 2022, the Registry of the International Criminal Court (ICC or Court) appointed myself, Gregory Townsend, as Duty Counsel to Mr. Mokom. On 6 April 2022, the Registry extended this appointment.
- 2. I file this request seeking an order for purposes of enabling the Pre-Trial Chamber to subsequently reconsider its 'Order to the Registry concerning the appointment of Mr Nicholas Kaufman as counsel for Mr Maxime Jeoffroy Eli Mokom Gawaka' (the '25 March 2022 Order').¹

II. CLASSIFICATION

3. Pursuant to regulation 23 *bis*(1) of the Regulations of the Court (RoC), I file this submission under the classification of 'confidential' to accord with the classification of the Order of 25 March 2022 (Order ICC-01/14-01/22-26-Conf-Exp) which instructed the Registry to revoke the mandate of Mr. Nick Kaufman as counsel for Mr. Mokom, and further to the oral instructions of the Pre-Trial Chamber on 4 April 2022.

III. APPLICABLE LEGAL PROVISIONS

- 3. Article 16(3) of the ICC Code of Professional Conduct reads, in part (emphasis added):
 - 3. Where a conflict of interest arises, counsel shall at once inform all potentially affected clients of the existence of the conflict and either: [...] (b) <u>Seek the full and informed consent in writing</u> of all potentially affected clients to continue representation.

IV. SUBMISSIONS

4. Because Article 16(3) of the ICC Code of Professional Conduct expressly provides for <u>a remedy</u> to conflicts of interest, namely *seeking the full and informed consent in writing of the affected present and prior clients*, the Pre-Trial Chamber should issue an order granting Mr. Kaufman an opportunity to produce such waivers, and if he is able to produce such waivers, and after hearing from the Prosecution, the Pre-Trial Chamber will be in a position then to reconsider the 25 March 2022 Order.

¹ ICC-01/14-01/22-26-Conf-Exp.

- 5. On 4 April 2022, at the confidential and *ex parte* status conference convened by the Pre-Trial Chamber, Mr. Mokom, then represented and having been duly advised by duty counsel, spoke directly to the Pre-Trial Chamber, indicated his strong desire to maintain his long-standing, well-established attorney-client relationship with Mr. Kaufman, stated that Mr. Kaufman was his counsel of choice, noted the transparency Mr. Kaufman's explanations about his prior representation of other clients, and that he 'adopted' the two documents authored by Mr. Kaufman with respect to the 25 March Order and filed on 28 March 2022 (a request for reconsideration)² and 30 March 2022 (a request for leave to appeal).
- 6. Having conferred with Mr. Mokom before and after that status conference, I join here, and incorporate by reference, the content of the filing of 28 March 2022, which, for purposes of avoiding duplication, is a 'related' file, so that the Pre-Trial Chamber may consider those submissions and prayers as being those of duty counsel made on behalf of Mr. Mokom, and enabling the Pre-Trial Chamber to rule on it.
- With the Pre-Trial Chamber now properly seized of a request for 7. reconsideration, as requested by duty counsel for Mr. Mokom and on his behalf, I make the following further submissions to facilitate such reconsideration.
- 8. Determining whether a conflict of interest arises and if it can or cannot be remedied is a *fact-intensive* inquiry.
- 9. Here, the Pre-Trial Chamber, prior to deciding on reconsideration, should: (1) allow for a more complete record of the facts to made³ and (2) make a proper determination if the former clients in question 'consent' to the conflict of interest pursuant to Article 16(3) of the ICC Code of Professional Conduct.
- 10. Here, the scope of the information gained by Mr. Kaufman from previous clients remains unclear, as does whether or not such information is still in fact confidential or not, and moreover if that information may serve to benefit another client.

² ICC-01/14-01/22-27-Conf-Exp-AnxI 28-03-2022

³ This is also true before considering whether this issue is ripe for granting leave to appeal, so as to avoid the matter being remanded by the Appeals Chamber to settle the facts.

- 11. Here, it also remains unclear if the there is a realistic chance (as opposed to a speculative and theoretical possibility) that Mr. Kaufman will actually be placed in a situation at the pre-trial stage of the case of Mr. Mokom where his previous, however fleeting, representation will give rise to a concrete conflict of interest. The response of the Prosecution as to whom it will rely on to give evidence at the confirmation will be telling for the Pre-Trial Chamber in this respect.
- 12. The Pre-Trial Chamber, therefore, should issue an order granting Mr. Kaufman an opportunity to produce waivers, under Article 16(3) of the ICC Code of Professional Conduct, showing the full and informed consent in writing of the affected clients. I understand that Mr. Mokom wishes to submit such a waiver. If Mr. Kaufman is able to produce such waivers as to his other previous clients and provide satisfactory information, and after hearing from the Prosecution, the Pre-Trial Chamber then will be in a position to properly reconsider the 25 March 2022 Order.
- 12. Upon having such waivers or in the absence of any, the Pre-Trial Chamber will also be in a better position to consider, for purposes of the legal test for reconsideration, if the 'conditions upon which the decision was grounded have changed.' In the eyes of Mr. Mokom, having his counsel of choice—Mr. Kaufman—would prevent an injustice, as to the other prong of the legal test for reconsideration.
- 13. In conclusion, on behalf of Mr. Mokom, I request that the Pre-Trial Chamber:
 - (a) **ISSUE** an order granting Mr. Kaufman a period of three weeks (from notification via email) to produce any waivers, under Article 16(3) of the ICC Code of Professional Conduct, showing the full and informed consent in writing of the affected clients and provide any further, relevant information with respect to any conflict of interest between Mr. Mokom and Mr. Kaufman's former clients;
 - (b) **RECONSIDER**, thereafter, the 25 March 2022 Order, and;
 - (c) **GRANT** the relief requested in the filing of 28 March 2022 (the request for reconsideration, incorporated by reference above).

Respectfully submitted,

Gregory Townsend,

Duty Counsel for Mr. Mokom

Date: 7 April 2022

At The Hague, Netherlands.